

**In the Court of Kanta Verma, Special Judge-II, Una, Distt.
Una, Himachal Pradesh**

Date of Judgment : 31.07.2026
CNR: HPUN01-001883-2022
Case Type: Session Trial
Session Case No. : 56/2022
Date of Institution : 09.6.2022

**Police Challan under Sections 15,
29 of ND&PS Act in case FIR No.99
dated 7.4.2022 PS Sadar Una, Distt.
Una, HP**

Complainant : HC Kamal Dev.
Represented by : Ms. Pooja Dhiman, Learned Public
Prosecutor.
Accused : 1. Phuman Singh son of Late Gian
Chand resident of Ward No.1 Kante
PO Bhadauri Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una,
HP
2. Harjeet singh son of Sh. Tara
Singh, resident of Ward No.1
Bhadauri Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, HP
Represented by : Shri Shivam Sharma, Advocate.

J U D G M E N T

The accused are facing trial for the commission of offence punishable under Section 15, 29 of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act (here-in-after to be referred as the ND&PS Act) on the challan presented by the SHO, Police Station Una, District Una H.P.

2. Briefly stated, the case of the prosecution is that on

7.4.2022 a police party consisting of HC Bhaga Ram, HHC Ashwani Kumar (PW8), C. Anil Kumar (PW6) and headed by the Investigating Officer HC Kamal Dev (PW13) along with I.O kit and personal laptop in official vehicle No.HP07C-0516 went for patrolling and traffic checking. Around 11:50 AM during traffic checking near HRTC Workshop Rampur, the Investigating Officer (PW13) received a secret information that Phuman Singh (hereinafter referred as accused A1) and Harjit Singh (hereinafter referred as accused A2) were indulged in illicit trade of poppy straw and while carrying poppy straw in truck No.HP63A-1330 were coming from Santokhgarh towards Una side and if immediate raid was conducted, a large quantity of poppy straw could be recovered from him. The information was credible. The Investigating Officer (PW13) thus sent information u/s 42(2) of the Act Ex.PW1/A to Additional SP Una through HHC Ashwani Kumar (PW8). In the meanwhile Ashwani Kumar (PW2) and Pawan Kumar (PW3) reached the spot. They were apprised of the secret information and were associated with the police party. Around 12:45 PM, the accused A1 while driving Truck No.HP63A-1330 also occupied by accused A2 came from the side of Santoshgarh towards Una side. The truck was signalled to stop. On enquiry, both the accused revealed their respective names and addresses. They were apprised of the secret information and their truck was parked near HRTC workshop. In the presence of Ashwani Kumar (PW2) and Pawan Kumar(PW3) search of the truck was conducted. On search, white polythenes were found in the tank attached to the diesel tank. One tarpaulin was spread under the

truck and all the polythenes were taken out and counted on the tarpaulin and were found 100 in number. Polythenes were checked. Each polythene was found containing one white polythene. On opening the polythens, five polythenes were found containing brown substance, which on the basis of experience was identified by the Investigating Officer (PW13) as poppy straw and remaining 95 polythenes were found containing poppy seeds. Both the accused also confirmed them to be so. In the meanwhile HHC Ashwani Kumar (PW8) reached the spot. Recovered Poppy straw was kept in the same polythenes and the polythenes were marked as K1 to K5. HHC Ashwani Kumar (PW8) was sent to bring electronic weighing scale. He brought the electronic weighing scale. On weighment, polythene K1 was found 990 grams, polythenes K2, K3 and K4 were found 510 grams each and polythene Mark K5 was found 520 grams, total weighing 3.40 kilograms. All the five polythenes containing poppy straw were kept in one white sack which was sealed with one seal of K. Out of remaining 95 polythenes containing poppy seeds, 35 polythenes were kept in a sack which was marked as S-1, 30 polythenes were kept in two sacks each marked as S2 and S-3 and each of the sack Mark S-1 to S-3 was sealed with one seal of K. On weighment sack S-1 was found 35.260 kilograms, sack S2 was found 30.210 kilograms and sack Mask S-3 was found 30.310 kilograms, total weighing 95.840 kilograms. Columns No.1 ot 8 of Form NCB-I Ex.PW11/C were filled on the spot and seal impressions were embossed thereon. Sample seal Ex. PW2/A was separately retained and seal after used was handed over to

Ashwani Kumar (PW2). On checking the truck, RC and insurance of the truck along with driving licence of accused A1 were found in the glove box. All the sealed sacks, form NCB-1 in triplicate, sample seal along with truck and documents were taken into possession vide memo Ex.PW6/B. Copies of memo were supplied to both the accused. Photographs Ex.PW13/A1 to Ex.PW13/A21 were clicked on the spot. The entire proceedings were carried out in the tin shed of a closed shop in front of link road of HRTC Workshop. Rukka Ex.PW13/C through e-mail was sent to the police station on the basis whereof a formal FIR Ex.PW11/A came to be registered against the accused. Rough site plan Ex.PW13/D was prepared on the spot. The accused were interrogated and were arrested vide memo Ex.PW13/H. During the course of investigation, SI Kuldeep Kumar (PW11) resealed each of the sack with one seal of U, retained seal sample Ex. PW11/D filled relevant columns of form NCB-1 in triplicate Ex. PW11/C and issued certificate of re-sealing Ex. PW11/E. Inventory proceedings were done before Id. JMFC-III, Una and on completion of inventory proceedings, the case property along with sample drawn during inventory, copies of order and inventory certificate passed/issued by the Ld. Magistrate Ex. PW15/D and Ex. PW15/E respectively and photographs of inventory proceedings Ex.PW13/J1 to Ex.PW13/J9 were obtained. The sample was got chemically analyzed from SFSL Junga and SFSL report Ex.PW13/K was obtained. Special report Ex.PW1/B was sent to Additional SP Una and extract of register Ex.PW1/D was obtained. Statements of the witnesses were also recorded. On completion of the investigation

and on being satisfied of the commission of offence punishable under Section 15 and 29 of the ND&PS Act, challan thereunder against the accused was presented in the Court.

3. Charge(s) u/s 15, 29 of the ND&PS Act were framed against accused to which they pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

4. The prosecution in order to prove its case examined as many as fifteen witnesses.

5. Statements of accused were recorded under Section 313 Cr. P.C. They denied the case of the prosecution in toto and claimed innocence. No evidence in defence however was led by any of the accused.

6. I have heard learned Public Prosecutor and learned defence counsel and have also gone through the record carefully.

7. Following points arises for determination in the present case:-

1. Whether the prosecution has succeeded in proving beyond reasonable doubt that on 7.4.2022 around 12:45 PM near HRTC workshop Rampur, the accused conspired to possess and transport 3.40 kilograms of poppy straw and were found in exclusive and conscious possession thereof.

2. Final Order.

8. For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter while discussing the aforesaid points for determination, my findings thereon are as under:

Point No. 1 : No
Final Order : The accused are acquitted as per operative part of the judgment.

POINT NO.1.

EVIDENCE ON RECORD.

9. **ASI Nirmal Singh, Reader to Additional SP Una (PW1)** has stated that on 7.4.2022, Additional SP Una Parveen Dhiman on the receipt of information u/s 42(2) of the Act Ex.PW1/A handed that over to him for making necessary entry and he made requisite entry at Sr. No.244 Ex.PW1/C in the relevant register. On 9.4.2022 Additional SP Una received special report Ex.PW1/B and handed over the same to him and he made necessary entry at Sr. No.246 Ex.PW1/C of the register.

10. **Ashwani Kumar (PW2)** has stated that he was going to his confectionery shop at Rampur Bela. On the way, near HRTC workshop the police met him and took his photographs with them. After taking photographs, police took his mobile phone and asked him to come to the police. Nothing else however had happened in his presence. He was declared hostile. In his cross-examination by the prosecution, he has admitted his signatures on sample seal Ex.PW2/A and recovery memo Ex.PW6/B. He has admitted that

photographs Mark AK1 to AK21 (Ex. PW13/A1 to PW13/A21) were clicked in his presence. However he has denied the rest of the prosecution case inculcating the accused suggested to him in its entirety and his having made statement incriminating the accused to the police. He has further stated that he was not pressurized nor forced to sign the documents. He has studied upto fourth standard and cannot read Hindi. He has denied that documents were read over and explained to him and he had signed them only after understanding contents thereof. In his cross-examination by the defence, he has admitted that his signatures on the documents were obtained in the police station.

11. Pawan Kumar (PW3) has stated that while going to his field to guard his crops, he met the police near HRTC workshop. The police took photographs with him and also took his mobile phone and asked him to come to police station. Nothing else had happened in his presence. He was declared hostile. In his cross-examination by the prosecution, he has admitted his signatures on sample seal Ex.PW2/A and recovery memo Ex.PW6/B. He has admitted that photographs Mark AK1 to AK21 (Ex. PW13/A1 to Ex. PW13/A21) were clicked in his presence. However he has denied the rest of the prosecution incriminating the accused and his having made statement to that effect to the police. He categorically has stated that he was not pressurized/forced to sign the documents. He has denied that documents were read over and explained to him and he had signed them after understanding contents thereof. In his cross-examination by the defence, he has admitted to have signed the documents in the

police station.

12. HHC Sanjeev Kumar (PW4) has stated to have collected the case property along with SFSL report from SFSL Junga.

13. H/ASI Makhan singh (PW5) has stated to have taken the case property to SFSL Junga vide RC No.152/22 dated 12.4.2022.

14. C. Anil Kumar (PW6) in his chief-examination has stated the manner wherein alleged search and seizure dated 7.4.2022 was effected. He has specifically stated that while checking the truck, the Investigating Officer (PW13) got the lid of the diesel tank thereof opened from accused A2 and on opening the lid 100 white polythens containing some substance were found therein and on checking poppy starw was found in five polythens which were marked as Mark K1 to K5. In his cross-examination he has stated that the recovery memo was prepared around 2:30 PM. His statement was recorded around 4:00 PM after the rukka was sent through e-mail around 3:25 PM. He had disclosed to the investigating Officer (PW13) that the accused had confirmed the recovered substance as poppy straw. He was confronted with his previous statement Mark DX wherein it was not so recorded. The spot proceedings continued for three-four hours. The accused were arrested on the spot around 4:30 PM. They got the accused medically examined at RH Una. They reached the police station Una around 6:00/6:30 PM.

15. C. Sadiq Mohammad (PW7) has stated to have entered rapat Ex.PW7./A in the daily diary and to have issued

certificate u/s 65B of Indian Evidence Act Ex.PW7/B with respect thereto.

16. HHC Ashwani Kumar (PW8) has stated qua police party's proceeding to the spot, the investigating Officer (PW13)'s receiving the secret information and noting down the same u/s 42(2) of ND&PS Act and through him having sent the same to Additional SP Una, his reaching the spot after delivering the special report, the Investigating Officer's (PW13) having asked him to arrange for an electronic weighing scale from nearby place and his having brought electronic weighing scale from one Dinesh Kumar to the spot. He has also stated about the manner wherein the polythene bags were weighed. He has stated to have driven the truck to the police line Jhalera as per the directions of the Investigating Officer (PW13). He has also stated about taking special report to Additional SP. In his cross-examination he has denied all the suggestions to the contrary.

17. LC Sadhna Kumari (PW9) has stated to have entered rapats Ex.PW9/A, Ex.PW9/B, Ex.PW9/C and Ex.PW9/D in the daily diary and to have issued certificate u/s 65 B of Indian Evidence Act Ex.PW9/E with respect thereto.

18. HHC Kamal Dev CCTNS Operator, Police Station, Una (PW10) has stated to have entered rapats Ex.PW10/A, Ex.PW10/B and Ex.PW10/C in the daily diary and to have issued authenticity certificate u/s 65B of Indian Evidence Act Ex.PW10/D with respect thereto.

19. SI Kuldeep Kumar (PW11) has stated that on the receipt of rukka Ex.PW13/C he registered FIR Ex.PW9/A. He has

also stated that on the same day on the production of case property consisting of four plastic sacks, one containing 3.40 grams poppy straw, and rest of there containing 35.260 kilograms, 30.270 kilograms and 30.310 kilograms of poppy seeds respectively, he resealed each of them with one seal of K, retained sample seal Ex. PW11/D, filled relevant columns No.9 to 11 of Form NCB-I and issued resealing certificate Ex.PW11/E.

20. CCTNS Operator C. Deepak Kumar (PW12) has stated to have entered rapat Ex.PW12/A in the daily diary and to have issued authenticity certificate u/s 65B of Indian Evidence Act Ex.PW12/B with respect thereto.

21. The Investigating Officer (PW13) in his examination-in-chief has deposed the manner wherein the alleged search and seizure was effected and rest of the investigation was carried out. In his cross-examination he has stated that he had not sent information u/s 42(2) of the Act through e-mail. Self stated that he had not sent the same through e-mail as he was not having e-mail ID of Additional SP or SP Una. He has denied that three-four houses existed on the spot. Self stated that one-two houses existed 200 meters away from the spot. He checked the truck form inside. After preparation of information u/s 42(2) of the ND&PS Act, he prepared recovery memo on the spot around 2:45 PM. Rukka was sent thereafter and he received e-mail qua registration of FIR around 4:50 PM. He prepared memos of personal search of accused Ex.PW13/L and Ex.PW13/M around 6:30 P.M.

22. MHC Puneet Kumar (PW14) has stated about deposit of case property with him on 7.4.2022, his having sent/received

the sacks containing poppy straw for/after inventory proceedings, having sent the case property to SFSL Junga for chemical analysis vide RC No.152/22 dated 12.4.2022 Ex.PW14/A, having received the case property along with SFSL report Ex.PW13/K and having made requisite entries Ext. PW14/B in the malkhana register.

23. SI Ajit Singh (PW15) has stated about the inventory proceedings, drawing of sample of poppy straw, taking photographs during the course of inventory proceedings and passing of order Ex.PW15/D and issuance of certificate Ex.PW15/E by the court.

REASONS FOR FINDINGS

24. As per the case of the prosecution, the Investigating Officer (PW13) effected the alleged search and seizure in the presence of independent witnesses Ashwani Kumar (PW2) and Pawan Kumar (PW3) and the official witnesses HHC Ashwani Kumar (PW8) and C. Anil Kumar (PW6) and HC Bhaga Ram.

25. However Ashwani Kumar (PW2) one of the independent witness of the alleged search and seizure has not supported the case of the prosecution. In his chief-examination itself he has stated that the police clicked photographs with them and asked him to come to police station in the evening, however nothing else had happened in his presence. On being declared hostile, in his cross-examination by the prosecution he though has admitted that recovery memo and sample seal bear his signature(s) and he was not pressurized/forced to append his signatures thereon but he has clarified that the contents of documents were not readover and explained to him and he himself

could not read Hindi. The prosecution thus has failed to derive anything favourable from his testimony especially when he in his cross-examination by the defence has even stated that his signatures on the documents were obtained in the police station.

26. Similarly Pawan Kumar, the other alleged independent witness has also not supported the case of the prosecution stating that nothing had happened in his presence, though police had taken photographs with him and had taken his mobile number and asked him to come to the police station in the evening. On being declared hostile and cross-examined by the prosecution also he has denied all the suggestions implicating the accused and having given statement to that effect to the police. He has also stated that he was not knowing Hindi and the police had taken his signatures on the documents without reading over and explaining contents thereof to him. The prosecution thus has not been able to extract anything favourable from him as well.

27. In so far as the official witnesses are concerned, they indeed are as good as any other witnesses and conviction can safely be based on their statements if found similar/unanimous/harmounios and trust inspiring. However, in the case in hand official witnesses have contradicted one another in material particulars as:-

- the Investigating Officer (PW13) has stated that on search plythenes containing poppy straw and poppy seeds were recovered from one tank attached to diesel tank of the truck whereas unlike him C.Sunil Kumar (PW6) has

stated that the polythenes were recovered from the diesel tank itself and,

- the Investigating Officer (PW13) has stated that he around 6:30 P.M., had drawn up memo of personal search of accused A1 and A2 Ex.PW13/L and Ex.PW13/M respectively allegedly prepared on the spot, thereafter got them medically checked in RH, Una and after that went to the police station whereas Ct. Sahil Kumar (PW6) has stated that the accused were arrested at about 04:30 P.M. and they reached the police station around 06:00/06:30 P.M.

28. The above contradictions in the evidence of the official witnesses makes their simultaneous presence on the spot as well as alleged recovery doubtful. It is more so when:-

- Dinesh Kumar, from whom HC Ashwani Kumar allegedly/statedly had brought electronic weighing scale for weighing the alleged contraband, has not ever been examined and given up for being unnecessary;
- in photograph Ext.PW13/A11 weight of the one of the sack is seen 35 Kilograms, though no sack is alleged/stated to be weighing 35 kilograms;
- there is no explanation as to wherefrom the

sacks wherein poppy straw and poppy seeds allegedly recovered from the accused were kept, were brought by the police and

- last but not least the independent witnesses have turned hostile and have not supported the case of the prosecution.

29. Moreover, the Investigating Officer (PW13) has stated that he had prepared recovery memo Ex.PW6/B around 2:45 PM and thereafter had sent rukka Ex. PW13/C to the police station. It is also his say that prior to his receiving e-mail qua registration of FIR around 4:50 PM., he had also recorded the statements of the witnesses. As per evidence of C.Sunil Kumar (PW6) also the recovery memo Ex. PW6/D was prepared around 2:30 PM. However, unlike their evidence bare perusal of recovery memo Ex. PW6/B and the statements of the witnesses including Pawan Kumar (PW3) and Ashwani Kumar (PW2) Ext. PW13/E and Ext. PW13/F respectively reveals that FIR number(s) has/have been printed thereon and the statements of the witnesses including the statement of independent witnesses Ashwani kumar (PW2) and Pawan Kumar (PW3). If the case file after registration of FIR was received by the Investigating Officer (PW13) around 4:50 P.M., then how FIR number came to be printed on recovery memo and statements of the witnesses, prepared/recorded prior thereto is not understood nor explained on the record. The only conclusion which thus could be drawn is that the documents were not prepared on the spot as projected by the prosecution

and stated by the prosecution witnesses. This circumstance also goes against the case of the prosecution.

30. There is one more glaring flaw in the prosecution case. In fact there is no documentary evidence as to when:-

- rukka through e-mail was received in the police station and
- the case file through e-mail was received by the Investigating Officer (PW13) on the spot.

This flaw in the prosecution case assumes importance and makes the alleged recovery and case of the prosecution all the more doubtful especially when the recovery memo and statements of the witnesses statedely prepared/recorded on the spot before receiving the case file through email, even bear the number of FIR.

31. Needless to say that the prosecution in order to succeed is obliged to prove its case to the hilt beyond shadow of every reasonable doubt. However in view of my observations here-in-above, it can safely be concluded that the prosecution has failed to prove its case beyond reasonable doubt. This point therefore is decided against the prosecution and is answered in negative.

FINAL ORDER

32. In view of my findings returned on aforesaid Point No. 1, each of the accused is acquitted of the charge under Section 15 and 29 of ND&PS Act. Case property is ordered to be destroyed after expiry of period of appeal and in case of

appeal the same be dealt as per the directions of the Hon'ble Appellate Court. The file, after due completion, be consigned to record room.

Announced in the open Court today the 31st day of July, 2026.

/ashok/

(Kanta Verma)
Special Judge-II,
Una, Distt. Una, HP

Special Judge-II, Una, HP

FORM 'B'

Date of Offence	7.4.2022
Date of F.I.R.	7.4.2022
Date of Charge-sheet.	
Date of framing of charges.	1.2.2024
Date of commencement of evidence.	24.6.2024
Date on which the judgment Is reserved.	
Date of Judgment.	31.07.2026
Date of Sentencing order, if any.	NA

Accused Detail:

Rank of Accused	Accused A1
Name of accused	Phuman Singh
Date of arrest	7.4.2022
Date of release on bail	20.5.2022
Offence charged with	15,29 of ND&PS Act
Whether acquitted or convicted	Acquitted
Sentence imposed	NA
Period of detention undergone during the trial for purpose of Section 428 Cr.P.C.	NA
Rank of Accused	Accused A2
Name of accused	Harjeet Singh

Date of arrest	7.4.2022
Date of release on bail	20.5.2022
Offence charged with	15, 29 of ND&PS Act
Whether acquitted or convicted	Acquitted
Sentence imposed	NA
Period of detention undergone during the trial for purpose of Section 428 Cr.P.C.	NA

(Kanta Verma)
Special Judge-II,
Una, District Una, H.P.

FORM ‘C’
LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT WITNESSES

A. Prosecution:

Rank	Name	Nature of evidence.
PW 1	ASI Nirmal Singh	Police witness
PW 2	Ashwani Kumar	Spot witness
PW 3	Pawan Kumar	Spot witness
PW 4	HHC Sanjeev Kumar	Police witness
PW 5	H/ASI Makhan Singh	Police witness
PW 6	CT. Anil Kumar	Spot witness
PW 7	CT. Sadiq Mohammad	Police witness
PW 8	Ashwani Kumar	Police witness
PW 9	LC Sadhna Kumari	Police witness
PW 10	HHC Kamal Dev	Police witness
PW 11	SI Kuldeep Kumar	Police witness
PW 12	CT. Deepak Parmar	Police witness
PW 13	HC Kamal Dev	Police witness
PW 14	ASI Puneet Kumar	Police witness
PW 15	SI Ajit Singh	Police witness

B. Defence Witnesses, if any:

Rank	Name	Nature of evidence.
Nil	Nil	Nil

C. Court Witnesses, if any:

Rank	Name	Nature of evidence.
Nil	Nil	Nil

(Kanta Verma)
Special Judge-II,
Una, District Una, H.P.

LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT EXHIBITS**A. Prosecution:**

Sr. No.	Exhibit No.	Description
1.	ExPW1/A, 1/D	Copy of application to ASP Una and endorsment
2.	ExPW1/B, 1/E	Special report and endorsment
3.	ExPW1/C	Abstract of special report register
4.	ExPW2/A	Specimen of sample seal
5.	Mark RR	General Diary Detail
6.	ExPW6/A	General Diary Detail
7.	ExPW6/B	Seizure memo
8.	ExPW6/C	Certificate u/s 65B
9.	Mark DX	Statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C.
10.	ExPW7/A	General Diary Detail
11.	ExPW7/B	Certificate u/s 65B
12.	ExPW9/A to 9/D	General Diary Details
13.	ExPW9/E	Certificate u/s 65B
14.	ExPW10/A to 10/C	General Diary Details
15.	ExPW10/D	Certificate u/s 65B
16.	ExPW11/A	FIR
17.	ExPW11/C	NCB-1
18.	ExPW11/D	Specimen of sample seal
19.	ExPW11/E	Resealing u/s 55 NDPS Act
20.	ExPW12/A	General Diary Detail
21.	ExPW12/B	Certificate u/s 65B
22.	ExPW13/A1 to 13/A21	Photographs
23.	ExPW13/B	Certificate u/s 65B

24. ExPW13/C, 11/B	Rukka and endorsment
25. ExPW13/D	Site plan
26. ExPW13/E, 13/F	Statement u/s 65B
27. ExPW13/G, 13/H	Arrest information
28. ExPW13/J1 to 13/J9	Photographs
29. ExPW13/K	SFSL report
30. ExPW13/L, 13/M	Personal search memo
31. ExPW14/A, 14/B	Abstract of registers
32. ExPW14/C	Certificate u/s 65B
33. ExPW15/A	Application for certification of inventory
34. ExPW15/B, 15/E	Inventory list memo
35. ExPW15/C	Specimen of sample seal
36. ExPW15/D	Inventory order
37. ExPW15/E	Inventory certificate
38. Mark 15	General Diary Detail

B. Defence:

Exhibit No.	Description
Nil	Nil

C. Court Exhibits:

Exhibit No.	Description
Nil	Nil

D. Material Objects:

Material Object Number	Description
Ex.P1	Plastic sack
Ex.P2 to Ex.P6	Five white polythene packets bearing marka K1 to K5

Ex.P7 to P11	Poppy straw/bhukhi
Ex.MO1	Cloth parcel
Ex.MO2	Polythene packet
Ex.MO3	Brown coloured powder like substance/poppy husk (straw)

(Kanta Verma)
Special Judge-II,
Una, Distt. Una, HP

Special Judge-II, Una, HP

PARTICULARS OF ACCUSED AND SURETY TAKEN UNDER SECTION 481 BNSS (old 437-A Cr. P.C.)

Sr. No.	Particular of accused	Personal Bond	Particular of surety	Surety bond
1.	Phuman Singh son of Late Gian Chand resident of Ward No.1 Kante PO Bhadauri Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, HP	₹30,000/-	Hardyal Singh son of Sh. Vachan Singh R/o Ward No.2, VPO Bhaduari, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P.	₹30,000/-
2.	Harjeet singh son of Sh. Tara Singh, resident of Ward No.1 Bhadauri Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, HP	₹30,000/-	Avtar Singh son of Sh. Gian Singh R/o Ward No.1, Village Kante, PO Palkwah, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P.	₹30,000/-

(Kanta Verma)
Special Judge-II,
Una, Distt. Una, HP