

**13.09.2023**

Present: Sh. Diwakar Bhardwaj, Ld. Counsel for Plaintiff.  
Sh. Anuj Jain, Ld. Counsel for Defendants.

Arguments heard on the application under Order VII R 14 of CPC.

Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in CS (OS) 528/2019 dated 24.02.2023 titled '*Aditya Gupta Vs. Narender Gupta and Ors.*' has been filed on behalf of the Defendants and same is taken on record.

The document sought to be brought on record is the conveyance deed of the property in favour of the Plaintiff.

After going through the file, I find that there is no need to take the said document on record as the title of the Plaintiff to the suit property is not disputed between the parties. The suit of the Plaintiff is not resisted on the ground that he is not the owner of the property, nor does the Defendant who is the daughter-in-law of the Plaintiff contest the suit on the said ground. Moreover, no reason has been given as to why the said document could not be placed on record earlier. **Therefore, application under Order VII R 14 of CPC is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.**

Pleadings stand completed. On the basis of pleadings, following issues are framed:

***(i) Whether the suit is barred by provisions of Senior Citizens Act, 2007? (OPD)***

***(ii) Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a decree of possession in***

*respect of one room and kitchen in the property bearing site-3/129, Vikas Puri, Near Maharaja Agrasen Bhawan, New Delhi, as prayed for? (OPP)*

*(iii) Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a decree of permanent injunction with respect to the suit property, as prayed for? (OPP)*

*(iv) Relief.*

No any other issue arises or pressed for by the parties. Parties are directed to file list of witnesses within 15 days from today along with affidavit of evidence of witnesses with advance copy to the opposite party.

Put up for PE on **06.12.2023**.

**(DIVYANG THAKUR)**  
**ADJ-03/ SOUTH-WEST**  
**DWARKA/ NEW DELHI**  
**13.09.2023 (A)**