



<u>IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER-XV, RANCHI</u> <i>Present: Amit Shekhar</i> AJC-XV-Cum-FTC (CAW) Ranchi Date of Judgment : 08.07.2026 District: Ranchi S.T. Case No. 901 / 2022 Nagri P.S. Case No. 141 of 2022 U/s. 306/34 IPC	
Informant	Sabir Mirdaha
Represented By	Sri Sidharth Singh , Ld. Addl. P. P.
Accused	1. Rahat Parween, aged about 24 years, D/o Yasin Ansari, R/o Piska More, Nagari, P.S. Nagari, District-Ranchi. (A1) 2. Laila Khatoon, aged about 35 years, W/o Yasin Ansari, R/o Piska More, Nagari, P.S. Nagari, District-Ranchi. (A2)
Represented By	Sri Shashi Bhushan, Ld. Adv.

Date(s) of Offence	27.08.2022
Date of FIR	27.08.2022
Date(s) of Charge sheet	27.10.2022
Date(s) of Framing Charges In case charge is framed or altered in more than one day than specifically it is to be mentioned on which date charge is framed against which accused	10.02.2023
Date of commencement of evidence	10.02.2023
Date on judgment is reserved	07.07.2026
Date of judgment	08.07.2026
Date of Sentencing Order, if any	N.A.

Charge U/s:- 306/34 IPC

J U D G M E N T

1. The aforesaid both accused persons have faced trial for the offence punishable U/s 306/34 IPC.

2. The prosecution case in brief is that the marriage of the younger son of the informant namely Sahil Mirdha was solemnized with Rahat Perween in the month of march, 2022. His wife Rahat Perween and her mother Laila Parween always used to quarrel with his son. The wife of his son namely Rahat Perween had told him that she would kill his son if he comes to her house. On 27/28 at about 2.00 P.M his son Sahil Mirdha came to the house of his wife in his tempo but at about 5.00-6.00 P.M, she came to know from the villagers that his son has committed suicide and at that time his daughter-in-law Rahat Perween, her mother Laila Parween were present in the house. The informant claimed that due to the instigation by both the accused persons and due to their harassment, his son has committed suicide. Even earlier the first husband of the Rahat Perween has also committed suicide in the same room and some days ago both the accused persons had tried to press the neck of his daughter Gulsa Perween by putting Dupatta around her neck. Hence, the present case.

3. On completion of investigation, the I.O. has submitted charge-sheet No. 219/2022 dated 27.10.2022 for the offence punishable U/s 306/34 IPC against the accused namely Rahat Parween and Laila Parween. Consequently, cognizance for the offence under Sections 306/34 IPC was taken against them by the Ld. JMFC Ranchi on 28.10.2022 and case was committed to the Court of Sessions.

4. On appearance of accused, the charge for the offence punishable U/s 306/34 IPC was framed against accused Rahat Parween and Laila Parween on 10.02.2023 and contents of the charges were explained to them in Hindi to which they pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.

5. The description of accused persons in detail and name of prosecution witness and exhibits are mentioned in Annexure I and II of the judgment respectively.

6. After closure of the stage of evidence of prosecution, the statement of accused persons were recorded in terms of sec. 313 Cr.P.C. where they have denied the prosecution evidence and took the plea of innocence.

7. Now the point for determination in this case is whether the prosecution has been able to prove the charges framed against the accused persons beyond shadow of reasonable doubts?

FINDINGS

Prosecution evidence:

8. I shall begin the discussion with the evidence of P.W.1 Taranum Parween examined on 06.12.2023 who has deposed that the incident occurred last year in the month of August, 2022 between 5:00 to 6:00 P.M. It was a rainy day and loud noises were heard, claiming that Rahat's husband Sahil had hanged himself. Upon hearing the noise, she went there and found Sahil hanging from a pipe in the asbestos room. She has further deposed that previously, Rahat Parween's first husband had hanged himself in the same asbestos room. Even before this incident, noise, commotion and sound of quarrel were heard from the house. He has identified the both the accused persons.

In cross examination she has deposed that police has inquired from him. At para -6 she has deposed that an inquiry was held regarding death of the previous husband but it is not known whether a case was filed or not. Before the incident, the voices of all three were heard in the noise. She does not know if the relationship between them was good or not. She did not hear if the relationship in between them was bad and whether the mother and daughter were at home at the time of the incident. Whatever She heard, She has stated about the same in the court. The police took her statement two days later. She has denied the suggestion that She has falsely deposed in this case.

9. No other witness on behalf of prosecution was examined and the defence has also not examined any witness.

Argument by the parties:

10. The Id. Defence counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the accused u/s 306/34 of the IPC and submitted that the prosecution has miserably failed in driving home the charge of abetment of suicide against them beyond shadow of all reasonable doubts. He has submitted that there are no eye witness of the occurrence and the informant, I.O, doctor and other witnesses have not been examined hence, formal FIR, place of occurrence, manner of occurrence and medical report have not been proved. P.W.1 is a hearsay witness who has stated at para 11 that whatever she heard, She has stated before the court. It is apparent that there is no any other witness to corroborate the version of prosecution case. The examined witness has not whispered even a single word regarding the abetment of suicide and the requirement of section 306 IPC are not satisfied and therefore, the offence for which the accused has been facing trial is not at all substantiated and proved by the prosecution.

11. The Id. Addl. P.P. appearing for the prosecution has fairly admitted that the informant, I.O, doctor and other material witnesses have not been examined in this case.

Appreciation of evidence, reasoning and conclusion:

12. I have carefully heard the submission of the parties and succinctly gone through the entire material as found available on the record. It is apparent that even after more than sufficient opportunity granted to the prosecution for evidence, as the charge has been framed in this case on 10.02.2023, the prosecution has not been able to produce and examine all witnesses cited in the charge sheet except above noted sole witness. There are no eye witness of the occurrence and the informant, I.O, doctor and other named witnesses have not been examined hence, formal FIR, place of occurrence, manner of occurrence and medical report have not been proved. The witness examined in this case has not stated anything against the

accused persons and stated that whatever she has heard about the incident, she has stated before the court. On this score alone, it can be said that there is lack of sufficient evidence on record and therefore, the accused persons can not be held guilty for the alleged occurrence. It is also apparent that there is no other evidence to corroborate and co-relate the version of the prosecution case. Thus, in the given facts I am to hold that the prosecution has failed to drive home the charges against the accused persons beyond shadow of reasonable doubt and therefore, I am inclined to extend benefit of doubt on their favour.

13. In the result, on consideration of aforesaid facts, I find that the prosecution has failed to prove the charge U/s 306/34 IPC against the accused persons namely Rahat Parween and Laila Parween beyond shadow of reasonable and probable doubt. Hence, the above named accused persons are not found guilty and they are acquitted for the aforesaid charges. They and their sureties are hereby discharged from the liabilities of their respective bail bonds.

The accused persons are set at liberty.

(Corrected & Pronounced by me)
Sd/-

Dictated
Sd/-

(Amit Shekhar)

AJC-XV-cum-FTC (CAW), Ranchi
J.O. Code JH00452
Date-08.07.2026

(Amit Shekhar)

AJC-XV-cum-FTC (CAW), Ranchi
J.O. Code JH00452
Date- 08.07.2026

Annexure:-

(1) Accused details

(2)List of Prosecution / Defence /Court Witnesses and Exhibits

Annexure – I

Accused Details

Rank of the Accused	Name of the Accused	Date of Arrest	Date(s) of released on bail	Offences charged with	Whether acquitted or convicted	Sentence imposed	Period of detention undergone during trial for purpose of section 428 Cr.P.C.
A1	Rahat Parween	29.08.2022	03.08.2023	U/s 306/34 IPC	Acquitted	N.A.	N.A.
A 2	Laila Parween	29.08.2022	03.08.2023				

Annexure II

List of Prosecution / Defence /Court Witnesses

A. Prosecution:-

Rank	Name	Nature of Evidence (Eye Witness, Police Witness, Expert Witness, Medical Witness, Panch Witness, other witness)
PW 1	Taranum Parween	Other witness

B. Defence Witness, if any; No witness was examined by the defence.

C. Court Witness, if any: There is no Court witness in this case.

List of Prosecution /Defence/Court Exhibits

A. Prosecution: There is no Court witness in this case.

B. Defence: The defence has not proved any document.

C. Court Exhibits: There is no court exhibit.

D. Material Objects:- There is no material object as exhibit