

CNR No. PBBT010073622025



Case No. BA/2710/2025

Presented on : 27-06-2025
Registered on : 30-06-2025
Decided on : 07-07-2025

IN THE COURT OF
Special Judge, Bathinda
(Presided Over by Rajiv Kalra, PB0098)
BA/2710/2025

Application for Regular Bail
FIR No. 15 dated 26.05.2025
Under Sections 13(1)(B) read with
Section 13(2) of PC Act, 2018
PS Vigilance Bureau, Bathinda

State of Punjab

VERSUS

Amandeep Kaur, Belt no. 621/Mansa daughter of Jaswant Singh
resident of village Chak Fateh Singh Wala, District Bathinda now
House no.168, Virat Green Colony, Multania Road, Bathinda
(presently lodged in Central Jail, Bathinda).
..... Applicant (accused)

Shri Gurjeet Singh Khadial, Advocate, counsel for the applicant.
Shri Binni Mittal, Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

ORDER
(Delivered on 07-07-2025)

(1) Applicant, Amandeep Kaur has moved the instant application
under Section 483 of BNSS (old S.439 CrPC) for grant of regular bail in
afore mentioned FIR.

(2) As per the facts coming out from the FIR, the applicant
Amandeep Kaur remained posted as Senior Constable with Punjab Police,

District Mansa and presently posted at Bathinda. Previously an FIR no. 65 dated 12.04.2025 was registered at PS Canal Colony, Bathinda against the applicant under Section 21(b) of NDPS Act after recovery of 17.71 grams heroin from her possession.

(3) During investigation it was found that the applicant has various movable and immovable properties and there were numerous transactions surfaced in her bank account. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda sent a letter dated 10.04,2025 to the Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab through Chief Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab SAS Nagar to investigate her movable and immovable properties along with bank account transactions.

(4) During inquiry, the facts revealed that the applicant was recruited with Punjab Police and was posted at Mansa and thereafter she was on temporary duty at Bathinda. Her ancestral village was Chak Fateh Singh Wala and she was residing in a house situated at Virat Green Colony, Bathinda. The vigilance took check period from 01.04.2018 to 31.03.2025 and after reconciling her known sources of income and expenditure incurred by her, it was found that the income of applicant was Rs.1,08,37,550/- from all known sources, whereas her expenditure was found to be Rs.1,39,64,802-97 paise i.e. Rs.31,27,252.97 more than her known sources. It showed 28.85% more than the income.

(5) Based upon said inquiry report, a formal FIR under section 13(1) read with Section 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 2018 was

registered. The applicant was arrested in this case on 26.05.2025 and now she has approached this Court for grant of regular bail.

(6) I have heard and considered the contentions of both sides.

(7) The learned counsel for the applicant argued that the vigilance failed to reconcile the details of income and expenditure of applicant after taking into account the loan facility availed by her and a false calculation was prepared to falsely implicate her. Otherwise, the applicant has already spent substantial period behind bar and her presence is required for trial purpose only. With these contentions, learned applicant counsel prayed to extend the benefit of bail to the applicant.

(8) On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State vehemently opposed the bail request of applicant, on the premise of serious allegations.

(9) I have considered the rival submissions of both the sides.

(10) After going through the vigilance record, a detailed inquiry appears to have been conducted after taking into account the known sources of income and expenditure incurred by the applicant during the check period including the facility of loan availed by the applicant. After reconciling the details of income and expenditure, the investigating agency found disproportionate expenditure to the extent of 28.85% in comparison to the income earned by her. These allegations are prima facie pointing out disproportionate income earned by the applicant, a public servant with Punjab Police. Having regard to serious nature of allegations

leveled against the applicant, this Court does not deem it proper to extend the benefit of regular bail to the applicant.

(11) Consequently the bail application is dismissed.

(12) Nothing said hereunder this order shall be construed as final expressions over the merits of the case and these observations are strictly relevant for disposal of application in hand only.

(13) Copy of this order be sent to concerned quarters.

(14) Records be consigned.

PRONOUNCED IN OPEN COURT ON 7th JULY 2025

Rajiv Kalra (PB0098)
Special Judge, Bathinda

Typed by manju
Stenographer Gr-I