

Item No. 6
SC/244/2026
State Vs. Chirag

21.04.2026

Present: Sh. V.K. Swami, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Accused Chirag and Vikash in person.
Sh. Aman Rana, Ld. proxy counsel for both the
accused.

I have heard Ld. APP for the State and counsel for the accused persons and have perused the material available on record.

Ld. APP for the State submits that the specific and serious allegations have been made in the charge-sheet against the accused persons who committed house tress-pass by entering the house of the victim used as a human dwelling having made preparation for causing hurt to the victim and inflicted head injuries with the knife to the complainant/victim namely Rupak Kumar. Hence, he prays that the accused persons may be charged accordingly.

On the other hand, counsel for the accused submits that the statement of the complainant is not reliable in nature. He also submits that merely because the complainant/victim sustained injuries on the head is not sufficient to charge the accused. Hence, he prays that the accused persons may be discharged.

In view of the foregoing discussion and on perusal of the record, I am of the opinion that a prima-facie case u/s 450/452/307/34 IPC is made out against the accused persons. Accordingly, a charge for the same is framed to which accused persons pleaded not guilty and claim trial.

Summons be issued to the witnesses mentioned at serial no. 1, 2 and 10 for 01.06.2026.

Summons be issued to the witnesses mentioned at serial no. 3, 4, 5 and 6 for 02.06.2026.

Put up for PE on 01.06.2026 and 02.06.2026.

(PANKAJ GUPTA)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (S-W)
Dwarka Courts, New Delhi/21.04.2026