

**CS. No.392/17**

**Hem Chand Jain vs. Baljit Singh & Ors.**

19.11.2019

Present: Sh. Manish Bansal, counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Deepandra Hooda, counsel for the defendant.

An application U/o. 16 Rule 1 CPC is moved by the plaintiff on 02.11.2019. Copy has been supplied.

Counsel for the defendant submits that he wants to contest the application by filing the reply.

The summons issued to the Translator Mr. S.P. Aggarwal received back with the report that premises was locked due to strike of the Advocates. However, a review application is received from the said Translator by post. The counsels of the parties have been shown the said review application and they submitted that it will be in fitness of things if the said Translator appears in person in court on the next date and apprise that what is his grievance against the impugned order of which he is seeking review.

Let a court notice be issued to the Translator Mr. S.P. Aggarwal to appear in person and to apprise the court what is his grievance of the impugned order against which he is seeking review.

Put up for reply and arguments on the application U/o. 16 Rule 1 CPC as well as for RPE, on **28.01.2020**.

(Balwant Rai Bansal)  
ADJ-05(SW)/Dwarka Courts  
19.11.2019