

MACT 367/25

JYOTI MOHAN SINHA Vs. RAJIV KUMAR AND ANR

02.12.2025

Present: Ms. Neetu Thakur, Ld. Counsel for claimant.
None for R1 & R2.
Sh. Mehtab Singh, Ld. Counsel for insurance
company.

Reply filed by the insurance company.

An independent claim petition has been filed. Copy
supplied.

It appears that in its reply the insurance company has
computed fixed compensation but has not shown anything about
loss of dependency. This is completely wrong on the part of
insurance company. If no income proof was provided to the
insurance company, it ought to have taken minimum wages for
the purpose of calculation.

Ld. Counsel for insurance company submits that
since deceased was unmarried and his parents have already
expired, insurance company has not computed the loss of
dependency. I am prima facie of the view of that approached
adopted by insurance company is wrong. However, as a present I
think the petitioner should bring evidence to show as to whether
they were dependent in any manner for the purpose of finance,
on the deceased or not.

List for further consideration on **28.01.2026**.

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
PO, MACT-01, Dwarka Courts,
New Delhi/02.12.2025