

6 CS DJ ADJ 1278/25

MANSHI GUPTA Vs. RADHA GUPTA

04.04.2026

Present: Sh. S. K Singh, Ld Counsel for plaintiff.

Copy of letter in compliance of Order XXXIX Rule 4 CPC supplied in the court.

None appears for defendants.

Perusal of memo of parties shows that there are three defendants i.e. Radha Gupta, Pawan Gupta and Sharda Devi.

Perusal of record further shows that on 20.11.2025 summons of the present suit were issued on defendant on filing of PF/RC. However, plaintiff took no steps. The copy of letter of compliance shows that it is addressed to Mrs. Sharda Devi. There is no letter of compliance with respect to the defendant no. 1/Radha Gupta whereas plaintiff's own case is it is Mrs. Radha Gupta who is in possession of the suit property.

Perusal of record shows that ex-parte ad-interim order was passed on 20.11.2025 however despite a lapse of almost 4 months, the compliance has not been done and summons of the suit have not been issued to any of the defendants even once.

In the circumstances, **this court considers it appropriate to vacate the ex-parte ad-interim order passed by this court on 20.11.2025.**

Ld Counsel Pramod Kumar Sharma who is representing Sharda Devi and Pawan Gupta in CS ADJ 233/2025 is present in the court. He submits that although he has no instructions in these matters, he would comply with the directions passed by this court and accept service on behalf of defendant no.2 &

3. Copy of plaint is supplied to Ld Counsel Pramod Kumar Sharma to be served on defendant no. 2 & 3.

**Issue fresh summons for the settlement of issues to defendants through all permissible modes including electronic mail/whatsapp, on filing of PF/RC.**

At the vehement request of counsel for plaintiff, a shorter date is being given.

Put up for further proceedings on **21.04.2026**.

(Abhitosh Pratap Singh Rathore)  
DJ-05 (SW)/Dwarka Courts  
New Delhi: 04.04.2026