

Item No. 2
TP (CrI.)/50/2025
Vipin Yadav Vs. State

22.04.2026

Present: **Sh. Nitin Vats, Ld. counsel for applicant/petitioner.**
 Sh. V.K. Swami, Addl. PP for State/respondent
 no. 1.
 Counsel for the respondent no. 5.
 Sh. Naveen Kumar and Sh. R.P. Choudhary,
 proxy counsel for the respondent no. 7 and 8.

Reply is filed on behalf of respondent no. 7. Copy given.

Counsel for the respondent no. 5 addressed the arguments.

Proxy counsel for the respondent no. 7 seeks adjournment on the ground that main counsel is busy in Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Adjournment sought is strongly opposed by counsel for the applicant/petitioner. Even otherwise, this is not a ground for adjournment. However, in the interest of justice, one opportunity is granted to the respondent no. 7 to address the arguments.

Put up for arguments on 14.05.2026.

(PANKAJ GUPTA)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (S-W)
Dwarka Courts, New Delhi/22.04.2026