

CR No. 132/2026
Apoorva Yadav & Anr Vs Nitish Malik & Anr.

20.04.2026

Present: Ms Priyanka Yadav, Ms Vanshika, Sh Gulshan and Sh Nihan, Ld Counsels for the appellant along with appellant
Sh. Yogesh Kumar Goel, Ld Counsel for the respondent.

Today at the outset, Ld Counsel for the respondent submits that he has pressed an application u/s 73 / 45 of Indian Evidence Act (Section 72/39 of BSA) for comparison of disputed signature or for the expert opinion.

The said application has already been received by Ld Counsel for the revisionist and same has been acknowledged by her today in the court.

Ld Counsel for the revisionist submits that she is ready to straightaway argue on the said application.

Ld Counsel for the respondent primarily has raised the arguments that the present revision petition is not maintainable as the signatures of the revisionist on the present revision petition are not that of the revisionist, which can very well be ascertained by the signatures appended by the revisionist before the Trial Court in various applications as well, as in the main petition / complaint u/s 12 of DV Act.

The revisionist is present in the court. This court has inquired from the revisionist and she submits that the petition bears her signature only. Apparently, this court is not conducting a roving inquiry on to the signatures of the revisionist and has to decide the present revision petition on merits. The unfounded and unsupported allegations of Ld Counsel for the respondent cannot

CONTD...

:2:

be taken into consideration at this stage, specially looking at the fact the revisionist who is present in the court has herself admitted her signatures on the present revision petition. ***As such, I do not find any merits in the present application, the same is hereby dismissed accordingly.***

Now coming to the main revision petition.

Reply to the present revision petition has also been filed by the respondent which is already on record.

The said reply has already been received by Ld Counsel for the revisionist and same has been acknowledged by her today in the court.

Arguments on the revision petition qua the maintainence part heard.

Put up for clarifications if any as well as arguments on the point of Accord Car which have been directed by the Ld trial Court for handing over the same to the petitioner by the respondent on 01.06.2026.

In case any request has been received from Ld Trial Court, Ahlmad is directed to send the same before Ld Trial Court.

Interim order to continue till next date of hearing.

(Ravinder Singh - II)
ASJ-05/South-West District,
Dwarka/ Delhi/20.04.2026