

10 CS DJ ADJ 243/25
SHARDA DEVI Vs. MANSI GUPTA

01.11.2025

Present: Sh. Parmod Kumar Sharma and Sh. Prakhar
Vashisht, Ld. Counsel for Plaintiff.
Sh. Vishal K. Panwar and Sh. Aditya Verma, Ld.
Counsel for Defendant through VC.

On the last date of hearing, defendant was directed to supply copy of the WS, application for condonation of delay, etc. to the counsel for the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has still not been supplied with the copy.

Application for condonation of delay.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that subject to defendant being put to terms for the delay caused, the application for condonation of delay be allowed.

There is a delay of 51 days, after the initial 30 days, provided for filing of WS. Such a delay can occur in ordinary course in preparing WS, consulting with the counsel and procuring documents. The delay is not unreasonable. Therefore, the delay is condoned, subject to payment of costs of Rs.4,000/- to the opposite side.

Application is disposed off.

Replication may be filed by the plaintiff.

There is an application under Order 39 Rule 4 of CPC filed by the defendant. Let reply be filed to the same.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for defendant submits that there is some typographical error in the application and the address has to be corrected.

The application is, therefore, disposed off with liberty to the counsel to file a fresh application after removing the technical defects and typographical errors in the application.

List for arguments on the injunction application on 20.12.2025.

(HARJYOT SINGH BHALLA)
DJ-03, SOUTH-WEST, DWARKA
NEW DELHI/01.11.2025