

CS No. 87/17

Krishna Jain Vs. Balram Gupta & Ors.

17.05.2017

Present : Sh. Anuj Jain, counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Mahesh Kumar, counsel for defendant no.1.

Sh. N.K. Aggarwal, counsel for defendant no.2 alongwith defendant no.2.

Sh. Naresh C. Sharma, counsel for defendant no.3.

Written statement alongwith reply to the application u/O 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC on behalf of defendant no.1 have been filed on 03.05.2017. Copy supplied.

An application u/O. 6 Rule 17 CPC r/w Section 151 CPC seeking amendment in written statement alongwith amended WS have been filed by defendant no.3 on 06.05.2017, copy of which has been supplied. However, counsel for the plaintiff has moved an application u/s. 151 CPC to issue direction to defendant no.3 to provide complete set of documents of the application u/O. 6 Rule 17 CPC filed by defendant no.3 submitting that page nos. 4, 5, 24 and 25 of the said application, which was sent through post are missing. The same be supplied today itself.

Now, to come up for reply and arguments on the application u/O. 6 Rule 17 CPC on **27.05.2017**. Matter is also fixed for filing of replication, if any, by the plaintiff. Date is given as per the convenience of the parties.

(Mohd. Farrukh)
ADJ(SW)/Pilot Court
Dwarka Courts