

**CS. No.225/17**  
**Krishna Jain vs. Balram & Ors.**

23.08.2019

Present: Sh. Anuj Jain, counsel for the plaintiff.  
None for defendant no.1 despite repeated calls.  
Sh. N.K. Aggarwal, counsel for defendant no.2 alongwith  
defendant no.2 in person.  
Sh. Vipul Kumar, counsel for defendant no.3.

On the last date also, defendant no.1 was not present.  
Hence, **defendant no.1 is proceeded ex-parte.**

It is stated by the counsels for the parties that the plaintiff has moved an application seeking review of order dated 24.11.2018 and the said application has been replied to by the defendant no.3 only. Defendant nos. 1 & 2 have not filed their reply thereto. Counsel for defendant no.2 stated that he would argue straightway on the said application. The plaintiff has also moved an application U/s. 5 of the Limitation Act and the said application has not been replied by any of the defendants.

An application U/s.151 CPC filed by defendant no.2 is also pending disposal which is duly replied by the plaintiff.

Arguments on the applications i.e. application for review, U/s. 5 of Limitation Act moved by the plaintiff and U/s. 151 CPC moved by defendant no.2 heard.

Put up for orders on the aforesaid applications, for  
**09.09.2019.**

(Balwant Rai Bansal)  
ADJ-05(SW)/Dwarka Courts  
23.08.2019