

12 CS (COMM) 93/25

IDBI BANK LIMITED Vs. M/S SHREE SHYAM GARMENTS

02.09.2025

Present: Sh. Sachin Chaudhary, Ld. counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Brijesh Kumar Sharma, Ld. counsel for Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ravinder Kumar.

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff has filed on record an application under Order I Rule 10 CPC together with the application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC and the amended plaint mentioning therein that on account of inadvertence the name of the proprietor of the defendant no.1 was mentioned as Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ravinder Kumar, whereas, the correct name is Sh. Raj Kumar Sharma S/o Sh. Gokul Chand. It is also stated that the aforesaid Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ravinder Kumar was also one of the guarantor and the deed of guarantee has been placed on record at page no. 49 of the paperbook filed by the plaintiff.

Reply has also been filed by the plaintiff to the application filed by Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ravinder Kumar for deletion of his name.

However, copies of the above said applications and that of the reply have not been supplied by the plaintiff to the Ld. counsel for Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ravinder Kumar, who is the Objector in the present application,

Let copies thereof be supplied within a period of 3 days from today by the ld. counsel for the plaintiff to the Ld. counsel for Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ravinder Kumar. Hard copy of

the same have been kept in the Court record for the Ld. counsel for Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ravinder Kumar.

At the request of Ld. counsel for Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ravinder Kumar, let the above said application under Order I Rule 10 CPC and the application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC be taken up for reply and arguments on the next date and the application filed by the Objector / Sh. Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Ravinder Kumar shall also be taken up for disposal on the next date.

Now to come up on **23.09.2025**.

RAJ KUMAR
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01,
(SW)-Dwarka Courts, Delhi
02.09.2025