

**Ravinder Prakash Jain & Ors. Vs. Nimi Talwar & Ors.**  
**CS No. 15976/16**

**25.07.2017**

**Present:** Ms. Jhum Jhum Sarhan, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.  
Defendant no.1 is already ex-parte.  
Shri T.V.S.R. Krishna Sastry and Shri R.B. Joshi, Ld.  
Counsel for Defendant no.2.  
Defendant no.3 in person.  
Shri Sudarshan Tomar, Ld. Counsel for defendant  
no.4.

Matter is fixed today for arguments on the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC.

It is pointed out by Ld. Counsel for the defendants that preliminary issues were framed vide order dated 06.07.2015 and before hearing arguments on the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC, these issues should be decided first.

In view of the submissions made and on perusing the Issue No. 1 vide order dated 06.07.2015, the same is being re-framed as under:-

**“Issue No.1 :      *Whether present suit is barred under Section 44 of the East Punjab Holdings (Consolidation and Prevention of Fragmentation) Act, 1948? ... OPD”***

Matter be put up on **09.10.2017** for arguments on the Issue No.1 (framed today) and Issue No.2 which has already been treated as preliminary issue.

**(Sanjay Khanagwal)**  
**ADJ-03 : Dwarka Courts**  
**Delhi/25.07.2017**