

Ravinder Prakash Jain & Ors. Vs. Nimi Talwar & Ors.

CS No. 15976/16

19.04.2017

**Present: Shri Aditya Sharma, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the plaintiff.
Defendant no.1 is already ex-parte
Shri T.V.S.R. Krishna Sastry and Shri R.B. Joshi, Ld.
Counsels for the defendant no.2.
Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Proxy Counsel for
defendant no.3
Shri Sudhanu Tomar, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.4.
None for defendant no. 5 & 6.**

Matter is fixed today for reply and arguments on the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC.

Adjournment sought on behalf of the plaintiff on the ground that main counsel is not available today. Request for adjournment is strongly objected by Ld. Counsel for the defendants as on previous 2-3 occasions adjournment has been sought only on behalf of plaintiff and that present application has come up only after framing of issues.

In the circumstances, the adjournment is allowed subject to cost of Rs.2,000/-.

Be put up for arguments on the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC and also for arguments on the preliminary issue on **25.07.2017.**

**(Sanjay Khanagwal)
ADJ-03 : Dwarka Courts
Delhi/19.04.2017**