

**Bail Application no.200/2026
State Vs. Suraj
FIR no. 30/2026
PS Bara Hindu Rao
U/s 309(5) & 3(5) BNS**

03.02.2026

This is first application under Sec. 483 BNSS moved on behalf of applicant/accused namely Suraj for grant of regular bail.

Present Sh. Shreyas Pragyanan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Prakash Kumar Jha, Ld. Counsel for
accused/applicant.
IO PSI Ravinder in person.

Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant submits that no bail application is pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi or the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant submits that accused is in J.C since 30.01.2026. He further submits that the accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that on the date of incident, accused went for enjoyment with his friends and on the road where the complainant was not driving his truck properly and the truck of the complainant hit the car of accused from back side. He submits that the complainant was stopped and he was asked as to why he was not driving the truck properly on which he got angry and called his associates who gave beatings to accused and his friends. He submits that the truck was not seized by the IO. He further submits that custodial interrogation of accused/applicant is not required. He further submits that trial will take a long time and no fruitful purpose

will be served by keeping the accused in J.C and hence accused/applicant should be granted bail.

Per contra, Ld. Addl PP for the State submits that accused/applicant has committed heinous offence of robbery. He further submits that as per the CCTV footage, the accused/applicant and his associates were following the truck of complainant from the very point from where it was loaded. He further submits that specific role of accused has been explained by the complainant as he caught hold of the helper of the truck. He further submits that accused and his associates tried to take the truck from the spot of incident but it could not be started and in the meantime, police reached at the spot and apprehended the accused/applicant and his associates. He further submits that accused/applicant is previously involved in case FIR no. 30/2019, PS Wazirabad. He further submits that investigation in the present case is still pending and the charge sheet is yet to be filed. He further submits that present bail application should be dismissed.

I have heard the submissions and perused the record.

The alleged offence committed by the accused/applicant is serious in nature. As per the CCTV footage, accused and his associates were following the truck from Galaxy Transport Services situated at A-17, Chaman Park, Johri Pur, Delhi and they were also seen at Indian Oil Petrol Pump, Bhajan Pura where the complainant as well as the accused persons got the fuel for their vehicles. This shows that the robbery in the present case has been committed in a planned manner. Specific

role of accused/applicant has been explained by the complainant. Accused was apprehended from the spot of incident itself. Accused/applicant is previously involved in another case. The investigation in the present case is still pending. The incident involves violence and if the accused/applicant is granted bail, he may influence the complainant. Charge sheet in the present case is yet to be filed and the complainant and his associate namely Sueb are yet to be examined as PW. Granting bail to the accused/applicant before the examination of victims may defeat the purpose of law.

In view of facts and circumstances, I do not find merit in the present bail application.

Accordingly, present bail application filed under Section 483 BNSS is hereby dismissed.

The observations made herein shall not have any effect on the merit of case.

The bail application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to all concerned.

(Virender Kumar Kharta)
ASJ/FTC-02(CENTRAL)
TIS HAZARI COURTS:DELHI :03.02.2026