

SC 48/2019
STATE Vs. ANKIT BHARDWAJ
FIR No. 934/2018
PS: Uttam Nagar

26.07.23

Present: Sh. Chander Jeet Yadav, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused Dev Chopra was declared PO vide order dated 11.10.22.

Accused Ankit Bhardwaj, Paras Bhardwaj and Karan Arora produced from JC.

Sh. Avnish Rana, Id counsel for all accused.

Sh. Mukul Sharma, Id counsel for complainant.

PW Seema Rana and Nikhil in person but discharged unexamined for today as DVR player has not been brought.

IO has sent request that he is deputed at Pragati Maidan in G-20 meeting.

IO / SHO are directed to bring the DVR player on next date of hearing without fail so that evidence could be recorded in the present case.

Counsel for the complainant submits that despite specific directions given by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 02.02.23, no police officer escorted the complainant and witness to the court.

Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in order dated 02.02.23 in para 8 has specifically directed to provide police protection to the complainant and her son for giving her testimony safely and securely and also on the last date of hearing this court also directed concerned

SHO to provide police escort to the complainant and witness. Despite above directions SHO has not complied with the directions of the court.

Let conduct of the SHO be brought to the notice of concerned DCP and he is directed to take necessary action against the SHO for non complying the directions of the Hon'ble High Court and directed to file action taken report in the court.

In the meanwhile, concerned DCP is directed to make sure that witnesses i.e. complainant and her son be escorted by police to the court from their home and back for purpose of safely and securing recording of their testimony.

Put up on **08.08.23** for PE.

Copy of order be sent to concerned DCP and SHO.

(Vipin Kharb)
ASJ-04(SW)/Dwarka Courts
26.07.23