

11 CS DJ ADJ 92/24 PRAVESH KUMAR Vs. NARENDER KUMAR

22.11.2024

Present: Sh. Shubham Saini and Sh. Prashant Yadav, Ld. Counsels for plaintiff.
Sh. Manoj Godara, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

WS along with application u/o VIII Rule 1 CPC filed on behalf of the defendant. Copy supplied.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that, he is not inclined to file any reply to above application and would straightway argue the same.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

Although this court does not find any sufficient cause for condoning the delay of 84 days beyond the statutory period of 30 days in filing of WS but, **in the interest of justice, delay stands condoned subject to cost of Rs. 2,000/- to be paid to the plaintiff. Application stands disposed off accordingly. WS is taken on record.**

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that, he is not inclined to file any replication and issues may be framed.

Submissions heard.

Perusal of the WS reveals that, no defence is taken on behalf of defendant rather, same is merely a vague denial of the contents of the plaint.

In view thereof, an opportunity is given to defendant to show why judgment on admission could not be passed against him u/o XII Rule 6 CPC.

Put up for arguments on **17.01.2025**.

(SHILPI M JAIN)
DJ-05 (SW)/Dwarka Courts
New Delhi: 22.11.2024 (sr)