

CBI No. 30/2024 (CNR DLCT11-000071-2024)
RC 050 2021A 0004
CBI SC-II, New Delhi
U/s 120B r/w 420/468 & 471 IPC & 13 (2) r/w 13 (I) (d)
of P.C Act 1988
CBI Vs. M/s Indian Technometal Co. Ltd & Ors.

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Hari Mohan, Ld. Sr. Public Prosecutor for
CBI.

HIO/Dy.S.P. Subhash Chander.

**A-2 Rakesh Kumar Sharma is already declared
Proclaimed Absconder vide order dated 04.11.2024.**

**A-8 Vishal Jain already became approver vide order
dated 18.07.2025.**

**A-11 M/s Indian Technolime Company Ltd
proceeded exparte under Section 342(4) of BNSS
2023 vide order dated 17.10.2025**

A-12 Dayanand, A-13 Vikas Gupta, A-14 Roshan
Lal, A-15 Pawan Kr Gupta & A-16 Shailendra Nath are
on bail.

A-3 Vinay Sharma (VC), A-4 U. Madhusudan Rao
(VC), A-5 Mukesh Kr. Dave (VC), A-6 Sita Ram Gupta
(VC), A-7 Anil Kumar Jain (VC), A-19 Alok Baliyar
Singh (VC) & A-20 Shakti Ranjan Dash are on bail

Sh. Rahul Guatam, Ld. Counsel for A-3.

Sh. Jatin Verma, Ld. Counsel for A-4.

Sh. Sunil Sethi, Ld. Counsel for A-5 and A-6.

Sh. Arun Kumar, Ms. Anushka Barwal and Sh.
Abhishek Mahal, Ld. Counsel for A-7.

Sh. Ram Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for A-13.

Sh. Sunil Dutt Kaushik (VC), Ld. Counsel for A-14 and A-15.

Sh. Anil Kumar, Sh. Amir Khan and Ms. Pinky Singh, & Sh. Ashutosh Vajpayee, Ld. Counsel for A-16.

Ms.Arпита, Ld. Counsel for A-20.

An application under Section 173 (5) of Cr.P.C is moved by CBI for taking on record amended memo of parties in terms of directions issued vide order dated 18.07.2025.

Heard and considered.

The directions for filing amended memo of parties was issued consequent to approval of reject of A-8 Vikas Jain for becoming approver vide order dated 18.07.2025. However, M/s R.T Research Pvt Ltd which is a separately named as A-17 has also been put in Column No.12 merely on the premise that A-8 was the director of the said company.

The approver request vide I.A No.10/2025 was moved by A-8 in individual capacity and he even pleaded that he is the erstwhile director of M/s R.T Research Pvt Ltd/ A-17. The company being separately named as an accused and being conspirator does not automatically become the approver on the request of A-8 being accepted. The company holds a separate legal personality/entity and accordingly its personality/entity does not get merged with the director.

In view of the above, the amended memo filed today being not in compliance of order dated 18.07.2025, the same is not taken on record. **CBI is directed to file fresh memo of parties in terms of directions issued order dated 18.07.2025.**

The application in hand stands disposed off accordingly.

Original service report qua A-1 and A-10 still not filed by the HIO. Again more time is sought by him to file the same. **Be filed positively on or before next date of hearing.**

Part arguments on the point of charge are heard on behalf of CBI.

At this stage, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI seeks time to advance further arguments stating that he needs the assistance of the I.O who is not available today as his mother is not well.

Notice be again issued to IO Dy. SP Vinod Kumar for NDOH.

In view of the submission, the matter is adjourned.

Be listed for filing **fresh amended memo of parties /original service report of A-1 and A-10 and further arguments on charge on 10.12.2025 at 12 Noon.**

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
Rouse Avenue District Courts,
New Delhi: 21.11.2025