

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE:
PC ACT (CBI) - 04, ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURTS,
NEW DELHI.**

IA No. 21/2025

CBI No. 30/2024 (CNR DLCT11-000071-2024)
CBI Vs. M/s Indian Technometal Co. Ltd & Ors.
(Applicant Shakti Ranjan Dash)

RC 050 2021A 0004
CBI SC-II, New Delhi
U/s 120B r/w 420/468 & 471 IPC & 13 (2) r/w 13 (I) (d)
of P.C Act 1988

30.10.2025

Present : Sh. Mohd Ibrahim & Ms.Arpita, Ld. Counsel for
applicant.

Sh. Hari Mohan, Ld. Sr. Public Prosecutor for
CBI /non-applicant.

IO/Dy.S.P Subhash Chander, CBI.II/New Delhi.

This is an application already moved on behalf of
applicant /A-20 Shakti Ranjan Dash seeking permission to travel
abroad i.e **Ethiopia, South Africa, Bangkok and Cambodia**
06.11.2025 to 29.11.2025.

Reply to the aforesaid application is filed by the CBI.
Copy supplied.

Arguments are also heard.

ORDER

1. It is, inter alia, pleaded in the application that the
applicant is a director/shareholder in M/s Almighty Resources

Ltd which is incorporated under the laws of Cambodia and engaged in the business of mining activities in Cambodia and other countries. The details of the company alongwith its directors extracted from website of Ministry of Commerce, Cambodia is annexed as Annexure A-I. The applicant/accused is also director/shareholder in Manganex Mine (PTY) which is incorporated under the laws of Republic of South Africa and engaged in the business of mining activities there. The details of the company are annexed as Annexure-2 and Annexure-3. It is further pleaded that the applicant needs to travel aforesaid countries for his business engagements. The said visit during the relevant period is essential for physical inspection of the mines. The applicant also needs to meet the officials, employees and contractors there for business interests and fostering relationship in ongoing business operation in the said countries.

1.1 The accused/applicant has booked his tickets for the said visit which are annexed alongwith the application as Annexure-A-4. Details of accommodation during the said travel period in the countries too are given in para-10 of the application.

1.2 It is further pleaded that the accused/applicant herein has previously sought permission to travel Cambodia, Republic of South Africa, Abu Dhabi, UAE, Cambodia vide separate applications which were allowed by this Hon'ble Court vide orders dated 31.07.2024, 03.09.2024, 09.10.2024, 13.11.2024, 09.01.2025, 01.05.2025, 12.06.25, 16.07.2025, 06.08.2025 and lastly on 25.09.2025 subject to certain conditions. The applicant

herein adhered to the said terms and conditions and returned to India.

1.3 It is further pleaded on behalf of accused/applicant that vide order dated 03.07.2024, the applicant was admitted to regular bail by the Court subject to certain conditions including that he shall not leave the country without permission of the Court. Hence, prayer is made for granting permission to travel abroad during the relevant period.

2. It is argued by Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant that there is bonafide necessity of applicant to visit aforesaid countries in the interest of his business there.

3. In the reply filed by the CBI, it is submitted that applicant herein is involved in the present case for the offences punishable under Section 120-B read with 420/468/471 IPC and Section 13 (2) r/w 13 (I) (d) of P.C Act. There are serious allegations against him of conspiracy and forgery. Further, there are 02 more directors in the company who run various commercial activities. The accused is habitual of filing travel applications to visit abroad in the name of business activities. There is nothing on record to show any urgency on the part of said firms to depute only A-20/applicant for travelling abroad for inspection and commercial activities there despite having 02 more directors in the firms.

4. Ld. Sr. PP for the CBI has opposed the present application stating that there is strong likelihood that applicant may not return to India which will result in hampering of the trial. Hence, prayer is made for dismissing the application.

5. Heard and considered.

6. Vide orders dated 31.07.2024, 03.09.2024, 09.10.2024, 13.11.2024, 09.01.2025, 01.05.2025, 12.06.2025, 16.07.2025 and lastly on 25.09.2025, accused/applicant herein was granted permission to travel abroad by the Court subject to certain conditions. The said orders are perused.

6.1 The plea taken by the CBI for opposing the present application is that there is apprehension of accused fleeing away from the process of law or not returning India is concerned, the same is not forthcoming from the record. The applicant in terms of permission granted herein by the Court to travel abroad vide aforesaid orders complied with the same and returned back. Till date, there is no complaint regarding misuse of liberty granted to him. The applicant herein complied with all the terms conditions contained in the said orders for travelling abroad.

6.2 As far as other plea taken by CBI for opposing the present application is that there are other 02 directors in the company or applicant has not shown any urgency to visit abroad is concerned, the applicant herein has filed on record ample documents to show

that his visit is necessary for the interest of his business. Moreover, it is not for the CBI to decide qua the commercial activities of the applicant herein. Thus, this plea too has no force and stands rejected in view of the earlier orders passed for travelling abroad for the very same purpose of inspecting mines, attending business meetings etc for promoting their business.

7. In view of the facts and circumstances, **the application in hand is allowed and applicant Shakti Ranjan Dash is permitted to travel/ visit Ethiopia, South Africa, Bangkok and Cambodia 06.11.2025 to 29.11.2025 subject to the following conditions:**

- (i) That Accused/Applicant shall furnish a security of Rs. FIVE LACS in the form of FDR in addition to his personal surety bond in the like amount.
- (ii) That Accused/Applicant shall inform the Court about his arrival in India within 72 hours of his return and shall file copy of his passport showing departure and arrival back to India.
- (iii) That in any eventuality, the Accused/Applicant shall not request for extension of his stay abroad.
- (iv) That Accused/Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses in any manner and shall not use the permission granted to him contrary to the Rules.
- (v) That this permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any other authority except permission from the side of the Court.

- (vi) That during his stay abroad, the Accused/Applicant shall be represented before the Court by his counsel and no adjournment shall requested on his behalf due to his absence.
- (vii) That Accused/Applicant shall file an undertaking with affidavit that he shall not dispute his identity during the proceedings/trial conducted in the presence of his counsel during this period.
- (viii) That Accused/Applicant shall submit his addresses/ itinerary in abroad where he intends to stay alongwith his working contact number during this period.
- (ix) That Surety of Accused/Applicant shall undertake to accept notice, if any, on behalf of applicant/accused in his absence.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to Applicant/ his counsel.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge: PC Act, CBI-04
RADC: New Delhi:30.10.2025