

10

CS DJ ADJ 66/25

DALBIR SINGH Vs. SANTOSH

30.04.2026

Present: Sh. Umesh Yadav, Ld Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Sanjeev Beniwal, Counsel for defendant no. 1.
Sh. Lalit Ohlan, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 2.

Today the matter is fixed for further proceedings.

It is submitted by counsel for applicant / defendant no. 2 that in the order dated 16.03.2026 the Vashika numbers of concerned sale deed is mentioned as 293 and 294 whereas it should have been 295 and 296. He submits that due to inadvertent error on his part, wrong Vashika numbers were mentioned in his application that was moved on behalf of defendant no. 2.

Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has no objection to the same as same is an inadvertent error.

In view thereof, order dt. 16.03.206 stands corrected to the effect that Vashika no. 293 and 294 will be read as Vashika No. 295 and 296. Direction to Sub Register IX, Kapashera will be read with respect to the Vashika No. 295 and 296.

List for further proceedings on **10.06.2026**.

(Abhitosh Pratap Singh Rathore)
DJ-05 (SW)/Dwarka Courts
New Delhi: 30.04.2026