

14 CS DJ ADJ 66/25
DALBIR SINGH Vs. SANTOSH

20.01.2026

Present: Sh. Umesh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Sanjeev Beniwal, Ld. Counsel for defendant
(through VC).
Sh. Lalit Ohlan, Ld. Counsel for
Applicant/Proposed defendant.

WS, application seeking condonation of delay in filing of WS, documents, reply to application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC filed on behalf of defendant with advance copy to the plaintiff.

Submissions heard on application seeking condonation of delay in filing of WS.

During the course of submissions, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has no objection to the application, in case heavy cost is imposed.

In view thereof and considering facts and circumstances of present suit, delay in filing the WS stands condoned subject to cost of Rs.5000/- to be paid to plaintiff.

Application stands allowed. WS is taken on record.

At this stage, application under Order I Rule 10 CPC seeking impleadment of Ms.Krishna Gupta as necessary party filed on behalf of applicant. Copy served.

Submissions heard.

By way of present application, applicant is seeking impleadment to the array of parties being necessary party. It is

contended that Ms. Krishna Gupta has purchased the suit property on 18.02.2025. Hence, may be impleaded. Not opposed.

In view thereof, this Court is of the opinion that Ms.Krishna Gupta is necessary party to present proceedings, hence, impleaded to the array of parties.

Application stands allowed.

Plaintiff is directed to file amended memo of parties as well as amended plaint under Order I Rule 10 (4) CPC with advance copy to the defendants.

Considering the facts and circumstances of present case, newly impleaded defendant shall be abide by order dated 29.03.2025 during the pendency of present proceedings.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 2 undertakes to comply above directions.

Put up for further proceedings on **16.03.2026**.

(SHILPI M JAIN)
DJ-05 (SW)/Dwarka Courts
New Delhi: 20.01.2026 (sk)