

12 Cr Rev / 632/2025
State Vs. Tarun and Ors
200/2010
(Safdarjung Enclave)
CNR-DLST01-020851-2025

21.04.2026

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar, Addl. Public Prosecutor for State

Ms. Deepanshi Singhal, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 2 , 3 and 4.

1. Ms. Singhal has filed a reply to the revision petition on behalf of respondent No. 1. Copy supplied. Same is taken on record.
2. Mr. Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 submits that the said respondents do not intend to file a reply to the petitioner and may be permitted to advance oral arguments.
3. Heard. Request is allowed.
4. At this stage, learned APP submits that the TCR is bulky and assistance of IO is also required as the impugned order assailed herein as passed by the Trial Court discharges the accused persons (respondents herein).
5. In view of the above, issue notice to the concerned IO returnable on **01.06.2026**.

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
ASJ (FTC)–01, South District
Saket, New Delhi/21.04.2026
EB