

5 Cr Rev / 632/2025
State Vs. Tarun and Ors
200/2010
(Safdarjung Enclave)
u/Section-
CNR-DLST010208512025

06.03.2026

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar, Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.

Ms. Deepanshi Singhal, Advocate for the respondent No. 1 a/w the respondent No. 1.

Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate for the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 a/w the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in person.

1. TCR received. Let the same be tagged with the revision petition paper-book.
2. Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of R-1 by Ms. Deepanshi Singhal, Advocate. Same is taken on record.
3. As per service report dated 05.03.2026, notice received back unserved upon Monu/R-4, whereas all other respondents stand served. Service reports are taken on record. All the four respondents are present in the courtroom.
4. Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate for all accused persons submitted that he is appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos. 2, 3 and 4. The learned counsel further submits that a copy of the revision petition has not been served upon the aforesaid respondents.
5. The respondents are at liberty to seek a copy of the revision petition from the Ahlmad of the Court against acknowledgment.

6. Let reply to the petition be filed within four weeks from today with an advance copy to be served upon the opposite party through counsel. The parties are directed to file their written arguments along with a list of reliance, law reports preferably with neutral citation with an advance copy duly served upon the opposite party through counsel.
7. To come up for **arguments on 21.04.2026.**

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
ASJ (FTC)–01, South District
Saket, New Delhi/06.03.2026
nk