

20 Cr Rev 467/2025

STATE Vs. Ramprastha Sare Realty Pvt Ltd and Ors
FIR No. 123/2018
PS EOW SOUTH
CNR-DLST01-015417-2025

02.06.2026

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar, Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.

Mr. Jeevesh Nagrath, Sr. Advocate along with
Ms. Akshita Goyal, Ms. Apurva Gaur, Mr. Dhruv Gupta
and Mr. Aditya Goyal, Advocates for R-2 to R-7.

Mr. Vikas Arora and Mr. Mohd. Azhar, Advocates for
Arvind Walia, R-8.

Ms. Mehuli Mahapatra, Advocate for Naveen Jain, R-9.

Ms. Mayuri Aggarwal, Advocate for R-2 to R-7 through
VC.

Mr. R.K. Rehaja, R-7 is present through VC.

Mr. Naveen Jain, R-9 is present through VC.

IO/Insp. Kishan Veer Singh in person.

1. *Vakalatnama* filed on behalf of R-1 by Mr. Shubham Mishra. The same is taken on record. It is observed that the appearance on behalf of R-1 by Mr. Shubham Mishra is duly recorded in the previous order sheet.

2. Reply filed by respondent No. 7 through Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate, Garg Law Associates. Reply filed by respondent No. 8 through Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate. Copy supplied. Both the replies are taken on record.

3. Case record reveals that reply has not been filed by respondent No. 1. Last and final opportunity granted to the respondent No. 1 to file reply within 4 weeks from today, failing which the right shall stand forfeited.

4. At this stage, learned APP submits that Inspector Kishan Veer Singh has not brought the police file and he indeed needs to go through the police file before advancing submissions in the present revision petition.

5. In view of the aforesaid submission, re-notify for arguments on **03.08.2026**.

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
ASJ (FTC)–01, South District
Saket, New Delhi/02.06.2026

nk