

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE-02, SOUTH,
SAKET COURTS COMPLEX, NEW DELHI

19

EX 900/18

PEARL INTERNATIONAL TOURS AND TRAVELS LTD. Vs.
INTEC CAPITAL LTD.

24.04.2024

Present: Sh. Sushil Shukla, Ld. counsel for the DH
(Through VC).

Sh. Ashish Middha, Ld. counsel for the IRP SH.
Lekhraj (Through VC).

Sh. Satyaarth Sinha, Ld. counsel for the
applicant/Mecon Ltd.

1. Ld. counsel for the IRP submits that vide order dated 04.03.2023, account maintained by Intec India Ltd. at Yes Bank was directed to be freezed. He submits that however, JD here is India Capital Ltd. and not Intec India Ltd.

2. Perusal of the decree sheet shows that Intec India Ltd. is defendant no.1 in suit No. 8575/2016. Perusal of memo of parties filed alongwith execution petition also shows Intec India Ltd. as JD. Perusal of the order dated 30.10.2018 shows the case title as Pearl International Ltd. Vs. Intec India. However, on the next date of hearing i.e. 22.12.2018, the case title was mentioned as Pearl International Tours And Travels

Ltd. Vs. Intec Capital Ltd. in the order sheet. However, there is no such direction by this court for change of the title.

3. Ld. counsel for the DH submits that the case title showing Intec Capital Ltd. as a party in the present case was recorded inadvertently. He requests for change in case title.

4. **Accordingly, Ahlmad is directed to get the title of the present petition from ‘Pearl International Tours And Travels Ltd. Vs. Intec Capital Ltd.’ to ‘Pearl International Tours And Travels Ltd. Vs. Intec India Ltd.’ corrected on CIS. Copy of this order be sent to the Computer Branch for necessary correction.**

5. Be listed for arguments on pending applications on **24.07.2024.**

(Dr. Yadvender Singh)
District Judge-02/South District/
Saket Courts/New Delhi/24.04.2024