

IN THE COURT OF MR. HARGURVARINDER SINGH JAGGI,
ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE (FTC– 01), SOUTH DISTRICT
SAKET COURTS, NEW DELHI

Bail Matter No.:	1202/2026	
CNR No.:	DLST01-006842-2026	
FIR No.:	8/2016	
Police Station:	Hauz Khas	
u/Section:	302, 34 IPC	

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE

... Non-Applicant

Through – Mr. Santosh Kumar, Additional
Public Prosecutor (APP) for the
State a/w IO/Insp. Subhash
Chand PS Hauz Khas.

v.

VISHAL ALIAS BUNTY

... Applicant

Through – Mr. Anil Basoya, Mr. Akash
Gahlot and Mr. Himanshu
Bhandari, Advocates.

Date of filing of application(s): 05.06.2026

Date of reserving order: 09.06.2026

Date of pronouncement of order: 09.06.2026

ORDER

09.06.2026

1. Vishal alias Bunty (the applicant/Vishal) has moved an application seeking extension of interim bail for a period of 60 days in relation to FIR No. 8/2016 dated 02.01.2016 (subject FIR) registered

at police station Hauz Khas under Section 302, 120-B, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC).

2. The applicant is an under trial prisoner, who is facing trial before the Court of Sessions for the alleged offences under the subject FIR. The applicant has been in judicial custody since 02.01.2016.

3. The grounds urged by the applicant in his bail application seeking extension of interim bail are that *vide* order dated 30.04.2026, applicant was granted interim bail for a period of 40 days which is going to end on 10.06.2026. Applicant has averred that he was released on interim bail on 04.05.2026 and after being released on interim bail, he was attending to the medical needs of his wife. It is further averred that on 07.05.2026 while going to the hospital, the wife of the applicant again fell down and sustained an injury at the same place as before and on the very same day she was advised complete bed rest and proper treatment as per advised by the doctor.

4. Due to the said injury, an infection developed and pus accumulated, requiring immediate medical attention and a minor surgical procedure i.e., infected bowel surgery which was subsequently performed on 18.05.2026 and because of this procedure the original scheduled surgery could not be conducted.

5. IO/Inspector Praveen Kumar has filed a verification report regarding the medical documents submitted by the applicant. Along with the verification report, a certificate by Dr. Ashok Gupta has also been enclosed, whereby it is stated that the patient, Amyra Ahmed, the wife of the applicant was examined by him in OPD 371/2026 and prescription verified by him. Dr. Gupta has certified that the wife of

the applicant was given medical treatment and advised on 07.05.2026 for injury in lower back and also minor incision and drainage for pus and swelling lower back due to injuries in lower back given on 18.05.2026.

6. At the outset, Mr. Anil Basoya learned counsel for the applicant submitted that it is difficult for the applicant's wife in such a state to also take care of and provide for her minor children. The learned counsel further submitted that the applicant is the only male member in their family and the wife is bedridden because she suffered a slip disc.

7. Mr. Basoya learned counsel submitted that the applicant has been accorded interim bail on prior occasions and he has never misused the same. The learned counsel further submitted that the applicant is willing to abide by any terms and conditions as imposed by this Court.

8. *Per contra*, Mr. Santosh Kumar learned Additional Public Prosecutor (APP) for the State objected to the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant. The learned APP submitted that it is apparent from the verification report filed by Insp. Praveen Kumar that the medical procedure is a non-urgent one. The learned APP submitted that the trial is at a crucial stage and no case for releasing the applicant on the grounds as urged in the application are made out and the application be dismissed.

9. On careful perusal of the application, reply/objections filed by the IO and weighty arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, the Court has to answer the question, whether the applicant,

Vishal alias Bunty can be granted the relief of extension of interim bail of 60 days as sought?

10. On gleaning through the case record carefully, it is found that the applicant was granted interim bail *vide* order dated 30.04.2026 and scheduled for admission on 04.05.2026 and surgery on 05.05.2026. However, on 07.05.2026 while going to the hospital, applicant's wife fell down again and sustained injury at the same place as before and was advised complete bed rest and minor surgical procedure i.e., infected bowel surgery was subsequently performed on 18.05.2026 to remove accumulated pus and treat the infection.

11. The applicant is an under trial prisoner, who is facing trial for the heinous offence of murder. However, the Court cannot lose sight of the fact that even accused persons inclusive of under trial prisoners are humans and they too have familial and societal ties. The medical condition of the applicant's wife has been an ongoing one and with two minor children, the Court is inclined to enlarge the applicant on interim bail, subject to stringent conditions in tandem with the facts and circumstances of the case.

12. Considering the above observations and findings, the Court deems fit to grant interim bail to the applicant, Vishal alias Bunty till **04.07.2026**, subject to the following conditions:

12.1. That the applicant shall intimate and share his mobile phone number to the concerned IO/SHO as well as the concerned Jail Superintendent. In case of change in mobile phone number, let the aforesaid officers be duly intimated within next 48 hours from today, without fail.

- 12.2. The bail bond of ₹75,000/- and surety bond of the like amount by way of fixed deposit receipt (FDR) drawn upon the bank located within the South District, Delhi, subject to the satisfaction of this Court.
- 12.3. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make an inducement, threat or promise to the complainant and/or any person acquainted with the facts or the case so as to dissuade them to disclose such to the concerned IO, investigation agency and/or Courts of justice.
- 12.4. The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not communicate with or come in contact with any of the witnesses, complainant/victim or tamper with the evidence.
- 12.5. The applicant is directed to surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent **on 04.07.2026 before 4:00 P.M.**
- 12.6. In the event of there being violation of any of the above conditions and/or any FIR/DD-entry/Complaint lodged against the applicant during the period of interim-bail, liberty is accorded to the State, to seek redressal by filing an application seeking cancellation of bail.
13. Accordingly, the interim bail application titled as ***State v. Vishal alias Bunt*** – ***Bail Matter No. 1202/2026*** stands allowed in above terms. Any observations made hereinabove for the purpose of dealing with the conditions raised during the hearing of the interim bail

application shall not be deemed to be an expression on the merits of the case.

14. The *Ahlmad* of the Court is directed to transmit the copy of this order to the applicant, Vishal Bunty through the concerned office of the Jail Superintendent. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the concerned Jail Superintendent, IO/SHO, police station Hauz Khas.

15. Let a copy of this order be given *dasti* to the learned counsel for the applicant, for information and necessary compliance. Lastly, let a copy of this order also be placed in the main file of ***State v. Vishal alias Bunty & Ors. – SC No. 7710/2016.***

Pronounced in the open Court on
June 09, 2026

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
Addl. Sessions Judge (FTC-01)
South District
Saket Courts, New Delhi

nk

Note:

This order comprises of 6 pages in total. The electronic signature certificate (digital signature) of the Presiding Officer has been appended on the last page of the electronic or digital copy (PDF) of this document.