

4 SC 226/2025
STATE Vs. NASEEM alias WASEEM
13/2021
(Neb Sarai)
Under Section-308, 341, 34 IPC
CNR-DLST01-006817-2025

10.04.2026

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar, Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.

Accused Islamuddin alias Islam is present on bail.

Accused Chahat Ali, Naseem alias Waseem and Wasim alias Akram are absent.

1. Matter be kept back for appearance of absentee accused persons and counsel for accused persons.

At 1038 Hrs

2. Mr. Pankaj Tiwari, Advocate for accused Islamuddin alias Islam and Chahat Ali has appeared.

3. Accused Chahat Ali, Naseem alias Waseem and Wasim alias Akram are present on bail.

4. The learned counsel for the accused person submits that the quashing petition with regard to the present case could not be filed before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi for want of identity of one of the accused persons namely Chahat Ali. The learned counsel seeks time to do the needful and apprise the Court.

5. Heard.

6. In the interest of justice, last and final opportunity is granted to the accused persons and the complainant as repeated adjournments have been granted on the plea of filing of quashing petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

7. That said, re notify for **02.06.2026**.

At 1108 Hrs.

8. Mr. Tariq Hashmi, learned DCLADC for accused Naseem alias Waseem and Wasim alias Akram has appeared and apprised about the order and next date of hearing.

9. To come up for purpose and date already fixed i.e. **02.06.2026**.

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
ASJ (FTC)–01, South District
Saket, New Delhi/10.04.2026
EB