

IN THE COURT OF MR. HARGURVARINDER SINGH JAGGI,
ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE (FTC– 01), SOUTH DISTRICT, SAKET
COURTS, NEW DELHI

Bail Matter No.:	1086/2026	
CNR No.:	DLST01-006337-2026	
FIR No.:	212/2026	
Police Station:	Cyber Police Station	
u/Section:	308, 318(4), 319, 340, 61(2) & 3(5) BNS	

IN THE MATTER OF:

State

... Non-Applicant

Through – Mr. Santosh Kumar, Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State
a/w HC Praveen, PS - Cyber
(South)

Complainant Vijay Kumar
Dhyani in person.

v.

Musaveer Khan

... Applicant

Through – Mr. Nishant Rana (through VC)
Mr. Akshay Daniel, Advocates

Date of filing of application(s): 29.05.2026

Date of reserving order: 02.06.2026

Date of pronouncement of order: 02.06.2026

ORDER

02.06.2026

1. Musaveer Khan (Musaveer) has moved an application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) seeking regular bail in relation to FIR No. 212/2026 dated 22.04.2026 (subject FIR) registered at police station Cyber, South under Sections 308, 318(4), 319, 340, 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
2. As per the FIR, one Vijay Kumar Dhyani has been a victim of online fraud of ₹15,50,000/ by way of impersonation.
3. As stated in the application, Musaveer is a young professional chartered accountant aged about 33 years. Musaveer has been in judicial custody since 24.04.2026. Musaveer has averred the basic pleas of having nothing to do with the allegations made in the subject FIR. He is a law abiding citizen and will abide by all the terms and conditions imposed by the Court.
4. Mr. Nishant Rana, learned counsel for Masaveer advanced arguments in tandem with the averments made in the application. The learned counsel for the applicant/accused urged that the applicant has learned the lesson hard way in life for not vetting his clients and potential clients, as he accepted money from their accounts towards consultancy and accounting services. The learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that moment the applicant learned about

the impugned money transfer to his account, without any protest and demur the entire sum has already been returned to the complainant.

5. Mr. Rana learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that with regard to the two other cases, about which the IO has mentioned in his reply, the applicant has also returned the money to the concerned victim and the second case stands settled.

6. The learned counsel urged that the applicant, Musaveer be enlarged on bail subject to any terms and conditions as deemed fit and imposed by the Court, which the applicant will comply and adhere to.

7. *Per contra*, Mr. Santosh Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor (APP) contended the submissions advanced by Mr. Rana. Mr. Kumar further submitted that Musaveer played a crucial role in the alleged offence as he offered co-accused commission for arranging bank accounts to receive cheated amounts. Further, whenever the cheated amount was credited into the bank account, Musaveer used to instruct him to get the amount withdrawn in cash.

8. Mr. Kumar further contended that Musaveer had established a firm namely M/s Musaveer & Co. and had also formed another company namely M/s V.K. Enterprise. The said firm maintained a bank account with DCB Bank in which mobile number XXXX2966, belonging to Musaveer, was registered and operated by him. The learned APP concluded his submissions on the note that the Court may decide the bail application in accordance with law.

9. I have carefully perused the bail application along with supporting documents, reply dated 30.05.2026 filed by the concerned IO, and the weighty arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, to consider whether Musaveer be released on bail.

10. The IO has lodged opposition to the bail application *vide* reply dated 30.05.2026. The IO in his reply has strongly opposed the bail application on the grounds that Musaveer is involved in a serious cyber offence and he is a part of nexus of cyber criminals. The investigation of the case is still ongoing. In the event the accused is granted bail, there exists a strong likelihood that he may tamper with or destroy the digital evidence pertaining to the case, which is volatile and sensitive in nature and can be accessed remotely. Co-accused persons Owais Khan and Kashif Khan and other beneficiaries are yet to be arrested. From the possession of Musaveer DCB bank account has been recovered and mobile numbers were involved in three cyber case FIR.

11. The menace of cyberfraud has gripped our country and the law enforcement agencies are being kept on their toes to not only protect the digital infrastructure but also the economy and personal rights of the citizenry, who are the innocent victims of such frauds. Vijay Kumar Dhyani is one of those victims, who came out and lodged his complaint *vide* subject FIR.

12. The applicant, Musaveer has returned the sum of ₹4,70,000 which the complainant has duly acknowledged during the course of hearing earlier today. The Court declined the oral request by the learned counsel for the applicant to record the statement of the complainant for the reason that this Court (read as Bail Court) at pre-trial stage will not tread in those waters. If at all, the applicant is within his lawful right to seek appropriate recourse before the competent Court in accordance with law.

13. Musaveer is a young professional chartered accountant aged about 33 years. The accused has already tarnished his antecedents and the cheated amount as received in the account of the applicant has already been returned by him. About Musaveer Khan fleeing the long arm of justice, tampering with evidence and/or influencing witnesses can be taken care by saddling him with stringent bail conditions in accordance with law.

14. In view of the above deliberations and observations, the Court deems fit that the applicant Musaveer Khan be released on regular bail, subject to the following conditions:

14.1. The applicant shall furnish personal bond in the sum of ₹50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) with one surety of the like amount by way of fixed deposit receipt (FDR) drawn upon the bank located within the South District, Delhi, subject to the satisfaction of the concerned Ld. Judicial Magistrate First Class/Duty/Link.

- 14.2. The applicant will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
- 14.3. The applicant shall provide by way of an affidavit, the details of the address to the Trial Court and the IO, at which he shall be residing. The applicant shall also intimate the Court by way of an affidavit and to the IO regarding any change in his residential address.
- 14.4. The applicant shall appear before the Trial Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing and not seek any unnecessary adjournment.
- 14.5. The applicant shall join the investigation as and when called by the IO concerned and/or as and when directed by the concerned Court.
- 14.6. The applicant shall provide all mobile phone numbers (used & owned, registered in his name) to the IO concerned which shall be kept in working condition at all times and shall not change the mobile phone number without prior intimation to the IO concerned.
- 14.7. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make an inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts or the case be it the complainant, witnesses, their family and relatives so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts, information to the Court or to any other authority.
- 14.8. The applicant will not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not communicate with or come in contact with any of the prosecution witnesses, complainant/victim, or tamper with the evidence of the case.

15. In the event of there being violation of any of the above conditions and/or any FIR/DD-entry/Complaint lodged against applicant during the period of bail, liberty is accorded to the State, to seek redressal by filing an application seeking cancellation of bail.

16. Let a copy of this order be given *dasti* to the applicant through learned counsel for the applicant. Further, let a copy of the order be sent to the concerned JMFC/Duty/Link JMFC through Filing Counter, Filing and Bail Section and also to the concerned IO/SHO for information and necessary compliance.

17. Accordingly, the bail application titled as, ***State v. Musaveer Khan – Bail Matter No. 1086/2026***, stands allowed in above terms.

18. Any observation made here-in-above for the purpose of dealing with the contentions raised during the hearing of the bail application shall not be deemed to be an expression on the merits of the case.

Pronounced in the open Court on
June 02, 2026

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
Addl. Sessions Judge (FTC-01)
South District
Saket Courts, New Delhi

Note: This order comprises of 7 pages in total. The electronic signature certificate (digital signature) of the Presiding Officer has been appended on the last page of the electronic or digital copy (PDF) of this document.