

State v. Tuntun and others  
SC No. 82/16  
FIR No. 977/16  
PS : Mehrauli

02.3.2017

Present : Mr. D. K. Singh, Special Public Prosecutor for the State.  
Accused Tuntun produced from JC with counsel Mr. Tariq Hashmi, Advocate from legal aid.  
Accused Lekha Singh @ Chintu produced from JC with counsel Mr. C. K. Sharma, Advocate.  
Accused Santosh and Shyam Singh on bail with counsel Mr. C. K. Sharma, Advocate.

The case is fixed for arguments on charge.

Counsel for the accused persons have submitted that they do not want to advance any arguments on the point of charge and concede the framing of charges against the accused persons as per the charge-sheet.

Submissions heard.

I have gone through the case file. After going through the police report and the documents filed alongwith the same and the statement of the victim recorded under section 164 of Cr.P.C., I am satisfied that prima facie, there are sufficient grounds to frame charges against accused Tuntun and Lekha Singh @ Chintu for the commission of the offences punishable under sections 363, 366 and 376 (2) of the IPC and section 4 read with section 3 of POCSO Act, 2012, and accused Lekha Singh @ Chintu is also liable to be charged under section 506 of the IPC.

Prima facie, there are also sufficient grounds to frame charges against accused Shyam Singh and Santosh for the commission of offences

Contd....

punishable under sections 344 and 212 of the IPC and section 21 read with section 19 of POCSO Act, 2012.

Let the charges be framed against the accused persons accordingly.

Charges for the commission of the offences punishable **under sections 363, 366 and 376 (2) of the IPC and section 4 read with section 3 of POCSO Act, 2012** are framed **against accused Tuntun and Lekha Singh @ Chintu**, and additional charge for the commission of offences punishable **under section 506 of IPC is also framed against accused Lekha Singh @ Chintu.**

Charges for the commission of offences punishable **under sections 344 and 212 of the IPC and section 21 read with section 19 of POCSO Act, 2012** are framed **against accused Shyam Singh and Santosh.**

The charges framed against the accused persons are read over and explained to all of them, to which, they all pleaded not-guilty and claimed trial.

List the case for evidence of the victim and other material witnesses of the prosecution on **08.06.2017** and **09.06.2017**. Long date is given due to heavy pendency of cases under Act 32 of 2012. The victim and other material witnesses of the prosecution be summoned through the IO of the case.

**(B. R. Bansal)**  
**ASJ-01/South/Saket/New Delhi**  
02.3.2017