

13 SC 407/2018
STATE Vs. DHEERAJ alias DHEERU AND ORS
650/2017
(Ambedkar Nagar)

27.09.2025

Present: Mr. Himat Singh, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.
Sh. S. Khan, Ld. Proxy Counsel for accused Dheeraj
@ Dheeru along with accused Dheeraj.

Dheera @ Dheeru has produced copy of medical prescription in terms of previous order. Copy is taken on record. It is observed that *robkar* was issued against accused Dheeraj on last date.

Non-bailable warrants issued against accused Aman @ Yogi received back unserved however, accused Aman @ Yogi is present in the Court. For his non-appearance the accused is directed to deposit a cost of Rs. 500/- at Saket Advocates Welfare Fund, Saket Bar Association. Let proof of deposit of cost be filed before next date of hearing.

Exemption application moved on behalf of accused Bhola. Exemption is allowed for today only in terms of the averments made in the application. The accused is directed to remain present on next date of hearing.

As per report SI Sunil Kumar is deputed as IO by SHO, PS Ambedkar Nagar. Matter be kept for appearance.

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
ASJ(FTC)–01, South District,
Saket, New Delhi/27.09.2025

At 01:05 P.M.

Present: Mr. Ramesh Pandey, Ld. Counsel for accused Aman
@ Yogi.

SI Sunil Kumar is present pursuant to the notice issued by the Court on last date of hearing. SI Sunil Kumar informed that the captioned case regarding FIR No. 650/2017 has been assigned to him by the SHO, PS Ambedkar Nagar.

On perusal of the case record, it is observed that the charge was framed against accused Abhishek @ Bhola and accused Dheeraj on 03.12.2019. It is further observed that the trial commenced against both the accused persons on 03.01.2020. On 11.01.2021, a third accused person Aman was arrested. On 27.10.2022 an application for cancellation of PO was filed on behalf of accused Aman. It is further observed that the application by Aman was allowed.

No supplementary chargesheet filed prior or subsequent to the cancellation of Aman as PO is found on the judicial record. In the interest of justice, the prosecution is directed to take necessary steps in this regard in accordance with law.

A period of four weeks is granted to the concerned IO. Let the needful be done on or before the next date of hearing.

Renotify for same purpose on **17.11.2025**.

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
ASJ(FTC)–01, South District,
Saket, New Delhi/27.09.2025