

State Vs. Ananta Kumar Routary
FIR No. 687/2022
PS Mehrauli

26.05.2023

Fresh chargesheet received by way of committal. It be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Santosh Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Ravi Kumar and Ms. Monika, Ld. Counsels for accused.

An exemption application of accused Ananta Kumar has been moved on the ground that he could not grant leave from his employer as he has been recently engaged with the company for his job.

Heard. Record perused.

Accused Ananta Kumar is exempted from appearance only for today through the counsel present today in the Court on his behalf subject to the conditions that he shall have no objection if proceedings are conducted in his absence and that he shall not dispute his identity.

It appears that in the index of the chargesheet received on committal, the Ahlmad has erroneously mentioned the status of the accused as being in JC whereas the record shows that he is on bail.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the accused submits that he has not been supplied with the list of witnesses. Perusal of record shows that the list of witnesses is not part of the chargehseet.

Let the file be returned to Ld. MM concerned for proper compliance of Section 207 Cr.P.C. after the list of witnesses has been placed on record by the IO as per rules.

Contd....

-2-

Ahlmad is directed to complete the file in all respect and place it before Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, South on 29.05.2023 at 2:00 PM with a request to return the file to Ld. MM concerned for proper compliance of section 207 Cr.P.C.

Accused shall appear before Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, South on **29.05.2023 at 2:00 PM.**

**(VRINDA KUMARI)
ASJ-02/SOUTH/SAKET COURTS
NEW DELHI/26.05.2023**