

SHANTI SARUP PUNJANI Vs. MAHESH SWAROOP PUNJANI AND ORS

10.07.2023

Present: Sh. Vineet Gandhi, Ld. counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Atul Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the defendants No.2, 8, 9
& 10.
Sh. Girish Gaur, Ld. Counsel for the defendants No.1, 3 to
7.
Defendants No.14, 16, 17 and 18 are already ex-parte.

Ld. Counsel for the defendants No.2, 8, 9 and 10 states that the defendant No.1 is residing abroad and he may appear on the next date on behalf of the defendant No.1 as well.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has already filed on record an application u/o VI Rule 17 of the CPC together with the amended plaint. Copy thereof has already been received by the ld. Counsel Sh. Girish Gaur who is appearing on behalf of the defendants No.1, 3 to 7. However, the copy of the said application u/o VI Rule 17 of the CPC has not been received by the ld. Counsel Sh. Atul Guupta who is appearing on behalf of the defendants No.2, 8, 9 and 10.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff undertakes to supply the copy of the aforesaid application u/o VI Rule 17 of the CPC to Sh. Atul Gupta, ld. Counsel for the defendants no.2, 8, 9 and 10 within a period of 10 days from today.

The aforesaid application filed by the plaintiff u/o VI Rule 17 of the CPC shall be taken up for reply and arguments on the next date. Advance copy of reply be supplied by the ld. Counsels for the defendants to the plaintiff on or before the next date.

Arguments on the injunction application filed by the plaintiff shall be heard on the next date.

At the request of the ld. Counsel for the plaintiff, now, to come up on **11.09.2023**.

(Raj Kumar)
ADJ-02/ North/ Rohini Court
Delhi/10.07.2023