

**IN THE COURT OF JATINDER PAL SINGH,
(UID PB-0263)
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
BATHINDA.**

CIS No: BA-271-2026

CNR No: PBBT01-000778-2026

Date of Order : 21.02.2026

1. Ajay @ Laddu son of Ram Kumar, resident of H. No. 32585, Street No. 0, Ward No. 41, Guru Ravi Dass Wali Gali, Paras Ram Nagar, Bathinda.
2. Raj Kumar son of Nathu Singh, resident of street No. 1, Ward No. 41, Paras Ram Nagar, Bathinda.

.....Applicants.

Versus
State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

FIR No. 203 dated 17.09.2020
U/ss 458/326/324/323/427/148/149/120-B of IPC
P.S. Canal Colony, Bathinda.

Bail Application under Section 482 BNSS

Present : Sh. Gurtej Singh Grewal, counsel for applicants.
Sh. Ram Singh, Addl. PP for the State/respondent.

ORDER:

1. Applicants named above, have filed this application for grant of pre-arrest bail under Section 482 BNSS in the afore mentioned case and vide this order, I will dispose of the same.
2. Notice of the bail application was issued to the respondent and trial court record was produced.
3. Learned counsel for the bail-applicants argued that the applicants were earlier admitted to regular bail, but, later on, they could not appear before the Court due to which they were marked absent. Their nonailable warrants of arrest were issued and ultimately, they were decalred as proclaimed offenders. As a matter of fact, the parties had entered into compromise and as such, the appicants remained under the

(Jatinder Pal Singh)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Bathinda./12.08.2025

impression that they were not required to appear before the Court and they were totally unaware about the issuance of non-bailable warrants of arrest. The absence of the applicants was neither intentional nor willful, rather the same was bona fide one due to aforesaid reasons. It is further argued that no useful purpose would be served by sending the applicants behind the bars. Thus, it is prayed that the applicants may be allowed benefit of pre-arrest bail.

4. Per contra, learned Addl. PP for the State/respondent argued that the applicants has mis-used the concession of bail. Thus, he is not entitled to bail on account of his conduct.

5. I have heard learned counsel for applicants as well as learned counsel for the respondent/complainant and have perused the record.

6. The applicants/accused were admitted to regular bail in the above said case and they were regularly attending the trial proceedings. A perusal of the trial court proceedings reveals that accused Ajay @ Laddu failed to turn up before the Court on 20.09.2025, as such, he was marked absent and his bail order was cancelled, whereas his bail bonds were cancelled and forfeited to the State and he was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants of arrest for 04.11.2025, 09.01.2026, 03.02.2026 and for 26.02.2026.

7. So far as applicant/accused Raj Kumar son of Nathu Ram is concerned, in the zimni order dated 28.05.2025, he was marked to be on bail with Sh. G.S. Grewal, Advocate and the case was adjourned to 20.09.2025. But, zimni order dated 20.09.2025 finds mention that he was

required to join investigation with the IO and case was posted for 04.11.2025, on which date, very strangely, he was referred marked as proclaimed offender, without any explanation or adopting proper procedure for the same.

8. Be that as it may, the excuse put forth by the applicants qua their absence does not seem to be much convincing. It appears that the absence of the applicants was intentional and due to their absence, trial court proceedings were stalled. This Court is of the view that applicants/accused have mis-used the concession of bail and as such, they do not deserve the concession of pre-arrest of bail.

9. With aforesaid observations, instant anticipatory bail application stands dismissed.

10. Let copy of this order be placed on summoned record and the same be sent to the concerned court forthwith. Bail application file be consigned to the Judicial Record Room, Bathinda.

Pronounced in the Open Court.

Date of Order: 21.02.2026
Suraj Mohan, SG-I.

(Jatinder Pal Singh)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Bathinda.
(UID No.PB0263)