

10 CA 499/2025
VINOD KUMAR Vs. MANOJ TYAGI
CNR- DLST01-016932-2025

27.04.2026

Present: Ms. Sheetal Sharma and Ms. Soumy Aneja, proxy counsels for Mr. Hemant Chaudhary, Advocate for the appellant alongwith appellant.

Mr. Udghosh Thakran, proxy counsel for Ms. Neha, Advocate for the respondent through VC.

- 1) Mr. Thakran, learned counsel for the respondent submits that the father of the main counsel underwent cancer surgery and on account of follow up check up today compliance with previous order could not be done. Accordingly, the learned counsel prays for one more opportunity to comply with the previous order.
- 2) At this stage, Ms. Sheetal Sharma, proxy counsel for the appellant opposes the adjournment request stating that this is a delaying tactic on part of the respondent.
- 3) Heard.
- 4) The request for adjournment is allowed as the same is sought on medical ground. Three weeks time is granted to the respondent to file reply/objections to the appeal with an advance copy to be served upon the opposite party through counsel.
- 5) Parties are directed to file their written arguments along with a list of judgments through e-filing portal, preferably with neutral citations on or before the next date of hearing within an advance copy

to be served upon the opposite party through counsel against acknowledgment.

6) Renotify **for arguments on 04.06.2026.**

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
ASJ (FTC)–01, South District
Saket, New Delhi/27.04.2026
EB