

CNR No.DLST01-003632-2024
CA No.155/2024
Neha Vs. Naresh

09.12.2025

Present:- Sh. Vikas Sharma, Ld. Counsel (Enrollment No. D-1960/2011) for appellant via video conferencing, seeks adjournment as his associate Sh. Shobik Tanwar, Advocate (Enrollment No.D/4684/2020) had to argue but is unable to come today since cataract surgery of his father had taken place three days ago.

Respondent in person.

Heard. Perused.

In Vakalatnama given by the appellant, four signatory Counsel are there ; of which senior most is Sh. Vikas Sharma, Ld. Counsel in attendance via video conferencing and yet he wants adjournment.

Even otherwise, generally cataract surgery patients are only admitted for day care and thereafter are discharged.

Be that as it may ; any one, amongst the four signatory counsel for appellant in Vakalatnama could have prepared and addressed arguments.

Instead, adjournment is sought in this case of year 2024, which is more than 1½ years old case.

Adjournment is granted subject to deposit of cost of Rs.1,000/- by the appellant in Prime Minister National Relief Fund ; in one week time period and the receipt of the same is to be deposited in the Court in said time period.

Contd./-...

CNR No.DLST01-003632-2024
CA No.155/2024
Neha Vs. Naresh

// 2 //

Final opportunity is granted for addressing arguments on 12.01.2026.

Parties are at liberty to file brief written submissions, not exceeding five pages each, with relied upon precedents, if any ; after supply of copy to the opposite party / Counsel against receipt ; one week before the next date of hearing.

Trial Court record be sent back and be requisitioned again two days prior to next date of hearing.

(Gurvinder Pal Singh)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (South)
Saket Courts, New Delhi (ab)