

Matter is taken up physically as well as through VC

CS DJ No.214/2021
Meera Jain Vs. Rahul Gupta

26.03.2024

Present:- Sh. Himanshu Lilani, learned counsel for plaintiff
Sh. Vikas Arora, learned counsel for defendant

Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that in the application for summoning the witnesses, inadvertently the page numbers of lease deed dated 25.04.2013 registered in the Registrar office have been mentioned as 30 to 39 instead of 152 to 162. It is requested that the same being a typographical error, he be permitted to examine this witness. Request allowed.

PW3 Himanshu, Sr. Assistant from office of Sub Registrar 9, Kapashera examined, cross examined and discharged.

PW4 S.K. Saini, Architech is present. He is examined. His cross examination is deferred as the site plan which is sought to be proved by the plaintiff is filed today only in the court and same is objected to by the learned counsel for defendant.

PW Aneg Paliwal, JA from Delhi High Court is also present alongwith the record of CS (OS) 1953 of 2013 titled as Meera Jain & Anr. Vs. Anita Gupta & Ors. However, learned counsel for plaintiff submits that he has not filed the certified copy of the documents which he wanted to prove from the said record. Thus, **PW Aneg Paliwali is discharged unexamined.**

List the matter for PE on 09.04.2024.

(MADHU JAIN)

Principal District & Sessions Judge (South)
Saket Courts, New Delhi : 26.03.2024