

Misc CrI. No. 75/26
DRI VS. PENILA EDWARD MSANGI

17.04.2026

Present :- Ms. Mala Sharma, Ld. Counsel for DRI
Accused produced from JC, Jail No. 6.
Sh. Raju Yadav, ld. Counsel for the accused. Fresh
vakalatnama filed. Taken on record.

Ld. Counsel for accused submits that he has no
objection to the application filed by DRI.

Heard. Considered.

In view of the law laid down in *Justice KS
Puttaswamy (Retd) & anr., Vs. Union of India & ors., (2017) 10
SCC*, and considering the fact that merely aiding in the
investigation to unlock the phone which could help in collection of
evidence, recovered from the possession of accused only would
not amount to violation of right to privacy or self incrimination.
Thus, application in hand is allowed subject to the condition that
privacy of the accused is nor breached unless it is required for
investigation purpose and his personal private details are not made
public.

The application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

(Ajay Garg)
Special Judge-NDPS/ASJ (South)
Saket Courts/17.04.2026