

SC No.
State Vs Pankaj Singh Tanwar & Ors.
FIR No. 426/2024
PS Tigri
19.02.2025

Today, lawyers are observing strike and abstaining from work.

Fresh charge-sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. L. D. Singh, Ld. Additional PP for the State.
SI Ankur Singh on behalf of IO/ACP Bharat Ram
Meena.

Perusal of the charge-sheet shows that complaint was furnished on 02.11.2024 and consequent FIR was registered on 09.11.2024 and the charge-sheet was came to be filed on 19.02.2025.

As per Rule 7 Sub-rule (2) of the SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Rules 1995, the charge-sheet is to be filed within 60 days. Further Rule 7 Sub-rule (2)(A) of the SC & ST Rules provides that the delay, if any, in investigation or in filing of charge-sheet shall be explained by the investigating officer.

IO/ACP Bharat Ram Meena has filed an explanation regarding delay in filing the charge-sheet submitting that the charge-sheet could not be filed in the court in the afore-said prescribed period as he was deputed in the law and arrangement duties pertaining to Republic Day, Beating Retreat, Election Duties etc. **The explanation furnished by the IO is found to be satisfactory.**

Contd....

:2:

As per the charge-sheet, narrative in brief is that on 31.10.2024 at about 08:30 PM, accused Pankaj Tanwar along with his 05-06 friends including Titu and Bheem, his father Rajpal, his mother Suman and other family members had beaten up the complainant, father of the complainant and mother of the complainant, abused them in filthy language and hurled casteist remarks against them by saying 'tu saala khatik, sabji bechane wale teri kya aukaat hain tum jaise neech jaati ke logo ki sunta kon hain'. Accused persons threatened to kill the complainant and his family members and also threatened to set the house of the complainant on fire. Complainant also stated that prior to this incident also, accused persons had threatened the complainant and his family members and complainant had given a written complaint dated 30.10.2024 in PS Tigri which was taken on record vide DD No. 77A but no action was taken. On the basis of the aforesaid allegations of the complainant, the present FIR was registered against the accused persons.

Offences punishable under Section 74/115(2)/126(2)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS and 3(1)(s) SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 against accused Pankaj Tanwar, offences punishable under Section 115(2)/126(2)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS and 3(1)(s) SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 against accused Rajpal Singh, Anoop Saini @ Bheem and Suman and offences punishable under Section 115(2)/126(2)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS against accused Titu have been pressed in the charge-sheet.

:3:

There is sufficient material on record to take cognizance and summon all the accused persons.

Cognizance is taken.

All the accused persons have been charge-sheeted without arrest and were bound down by notice under Section 35(3) of BNSS.

Let summons be issued against all accused persons through Investigating Officer for next date of hearing.

Let notice be also issued to the complainant through the IO for next date of hearing.

IO be also summoned to appear in person on the next date of hearing.

List now for 17.03.2025.

**(Navjeet Budhiraja)
ASJ-02 (South)/ Saket Courts
New Delhi/19.02.2025**