

SC No. 186/17
State vs. Prakash and Others.
FIR No.11/17
PS : Ambedkar Nagar

19.11.2019

Present : Sh. Pravin Rahul, Ld. Addl. PP for state.
All accused produced from JC (except accused Prakash).
Mr. Anil Tomar, Counsel for accused Sahil.
Mr. kartik Gaur, Counsel for the remaining accused persons.

PWs- Vimlesh, Nahim Malik and IO/Insp. Ajab Singh are present.

Accused Prakash is stated to have been produced through video conferencing. However, counsel appearing for the said accused Prakash, objects to the examination of the witnesses in the absence of the physical presence of accused Prakash stating that no such order for his production through video conferencing has been passed either by this court or any other court, and in these circumstances the concerned Jail Superintendent may be directed to produce accused Prakash physically before the court as he wants to examine the eyewitnesses in the physical presence of accused Prakash.

Heard. The concerned Jail Superintendent is directed to produce accused Prakash physical before this court on the next date of hearing in case there is no order of producing him through video

Contd....

conferencing or any other reason. If there is any other reason for not producing the accused Prakash physically, the concerned Jail Superintendent shall file the report citing such other reason.

A copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for compliance.

The witnesses present today are discharged unexamined for today. They are bound-down for the next date of hearing.

Re-notify for PE on 27.11.2019.

(Sunena Sharma)
Addl. Sessions Judge-03(South)
Saket Courts/ New Delhi/19.11.2019