

Bail Matters 407/2026
DRI Vs. SHALINI MALIK

13.03.2026

Present: Sh. Satish Aggarwal, Ld. SPP for DRI.
Sh. Amit Khawal and Sh. Amjad Khan, Ld. Counsels
for applicant/accused.
IO Ashish in person.

1. This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS for grant of interim bail for a period of 08 weeks moved on behalf of accused /applicant Shalini Malik.

2. Reply to the bail application filed by the IO. Same is perused.

3. Arguments heard. Record perused.

4. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant that applicant has three dependent daughters and the examination of their two minor daughters namely Ms. Gargi Malik and Gopika Malik's is scheduled for 12.03.2026 to 30.03.2026 and 05.03.2026 to 17.03.2026 respectively. It is further submitted that the presence of the applicant is required for moral support and better performance of the children of the applicant in the exam. There is no one in the family of the applicant to continuously look after her minor children or their educational expenses. It is further submitted that there is no past antecedent of any crime/conviction of the applicant till date and this is the only first false/baseless case against him wherein she has been falsely implicated. The applicant undertakes to abide by all the conditions imposed by this court while granting her interim bail.

5. Ld. SPP assisted by the IO has strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that the accused/applicant is also

Contd...

involved in another case. It was argued that the applicant is also likely to jump the bail. It was also pointed out that the elder daughter of the applicant is capable to look after the minor children of the applicant/accused. Hence, the present bail application may be dismissed.

6. Submissions heard. Record perused. Considered.

7. On perusal of record and during the course of arguments, it is observed that for seeking interim bail, the applicant has made false averment in bail application that there is no one in the family to continuously look after the three dependent daughters of the applicant. However, the elder daughter of the applicant is aged around 25 years and is well sufficient to take care of her minor sisters. It is averred in the application that there is no past antecedent of any crime/conviction of accused/applicant till date and this is the only first false/baseless case against the applicant. However, during the course of arguments, Ld. SPP for DRI placed on record copy of charge-sheet of Hyderabad case, as per which the applicant/accused is named as accused herein.

Further, considering the gravity of the offence, criminal antecedents of the applicant, false submissions made in the application and the fact that the elder daughter aged around 25 years of the applicant is available to look after the minor children of the applicant, I am not inclined to grant interim bail to the applicant/accused, at this juncture. Hence, the present interim bail application filed on behalf of applicant/accused is dismissed.

8. Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for applicant.

(Ajay Garg)
Spl. Judge-NDPS/ASJ (South)
Saket Courts, New Delhi/13.03.2026