

16 Bail Matters 380/2026  
STATE Vs. PINKI KUMARI  
58/2026, (Saket)  
u/Section – 85/316(2)/80/3(5) BNS and 498A/406/34 IPC  
CNR-DLST01-002458-2026

**28.02.2026**

**[Roster Bail]**

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar, Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.

Mr. Jitender Singh, Advocate for complainant alongwith Mr. Yogesh Mehto, father of the deceased.

Mr. Ajit Singh a/w Ms. Yashvi Sood, Advocates for the applicant.

IO Inspector Dinesh Kumar is present.

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the complainant and Mr. Yogesh Mohto, who is father of the deceased submitted that the copy of bail application has not been provided to him by the applicant.
2. Heard. The applicant is directed to furnish the copy of the bail application through counsel by today itself against acknowledgement.
3. Let reply/objections be filed with advance copy to be served upon the applicant through counsel.
4. The IO is directed to produce the MLC and postmortem report of the deceased on the next date of hearing. Renotify for arguments on **16.03.2026**.
5. ***No coercive steps to be taken against the applicant until the next date of hearing.***

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)  
ASJ (FTC)–01, South District  
Saket, New Delhi/28.02.2026  
*EB*