

CR No.58/2025

Vishal Sharma Vs. Fincap Financial Corp. Ltd.

16.10.2025

Present:- Ms. Olyrose Khankhara, proxy counsel for Ms. Akanksha Sisodia, counsel for the revisionist / accused (through VC).
Mr. Mayank Sapre, counsel for the complainant / respondent.
Mr. Hari Singh Negi, AR of the complainant / respondent (through VC).

1. Adjournment is requested on behalf of the revisionist / accused submitting that the main counsel is busy before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and revisionist / accused has not given any fresh instructions.
2. It is pointed out by the counsel for the complainant / respondent that the cost imposed upon the revisionist / accused by the learned Trial Court has not been paid by him.
3. The proxy counsel for the revisionist / accused has submitted that the cost shall be paid on or before the next date of hearing.
4. Absolutely last and final opportunity given to the revisionist / accused to pay the cost imposed upon him by the learned Trial Court as well as for filing of reply, if preferred.
5. It is submitted that the next date before the learned Trial

Contd./-...

Court is 31.10.2025.

6. Considered.
7. Matter be listed for payment of cost imposed upon the revisionist / accused, appearance of both the sides along with their respective counsel for exploring the possibility of settlement in the alternative arguments on the revision petition on 08.01.2026.
8. This file be taken up with the connected matters bearing CR No.56/2025, CR No.57/2025, CR No.59/2025 and CR No.60/2025.

(Nivedita Anil Sharma)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (South)
Saket Courts, New Delhi : 16.10.2025(a)