

SC No.7710/16
FIR No.08/16
PS: Hauz Khas
State vs. Vishal @ Bunty etc.

28.04.2017

Present: Mr. Rakesh Mehta, Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.
Accused Vishal @ Bunty and Salman produced from JC.
Accused Ravinder produced through video conference.
Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Ld. counsel for accused Vishal @ Bunty.
Mr. S. Qumar and Mr. Sharib Khan, Ld. counsels for accused Salman and Ravinder @ Raju.

As per report filed by DCP, accused Salman has been brought to the court and taken back by a high risk security van alongwith other two accused persons namely Vishal @ Bunty and Ravinder @ Raju.

Accused Salman present in the court submits that he has been lodged in the ordinary ward whereas other two accused persons have been kept in high risk ward.

Ld. counsel appearing for accused Salman submits that considering the nature of the allegation leveled against the accused persons, directions may be given to Superintendent bail to lodge accused Salman also in high risk ward.

As per record, all the three accused persons are facing trial for the offence u/s 302 IPC for committing murder of their fellow mate namely Manoj @ Bobby in the moving Jail Van. In view thereof, I deem it appropriate that accused Salman be also kept in high risk ward.

(Contd...2)

Counsels appearing for accused persons concedes to the charge. However, considering the fact that accused Ravinder @ Raju, who has been produced through video conference, would be required to be physically present for framing of charge, let directions be given to Superintendent Jail to produce him physically on the next date of hearing for framing of charge.

Be put up for framing of charge on **02.06.2017.**

(Sunena Sharma)
Additional Sessions Judge-03 (South)
Saket Courts/New Delhi/28.04.2017