

10

SC 29/2016 7710/2016

STATE Vs. VISHAL @ BUNTY ETC(3)

8 /2016 (Hauz Khas)

24.03.2022

Pr: Mr. Pravin Rahul, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Mr. Anil Basoya & Mr Paras Chaudhary , Ld. Counsel for the
accused Vishal @ Bundy.
Mr. Akash Deep, Ld. Counsel for surety.
Mr. Rishab, Ld. Counsel for accused Salman.
Accused Salman produced from JC(thru VC).
Accused Vishal Bundy produced from JC(thru VC).
Accused Ravinder @ Raju produced from JC.

No PW present.

An application has been moved by Gulshan for withdrawal of surety.
Perusal of record shows that accused Ravinder @ Raju was granted 5 days
interim bail. Applicant furnished surety bond on 12.4.18 but same was
rejected by Court on 13.4.18. Thereafter, one Prem Raj furnished surety
bond and same was accepted & accused was released.

Since applicant Gulshan has never been surety of accused Ravinder
@ Raju, hence, this application is dismissed and there is no question of
any charge upon the vehicle mentioned in application i.e. DL3SDY 4435.

List the matter for production of accused & PE on 17.5.22.

Copy of order be given dasti to applicant Gulshan.

(Anil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge-03(South)
Saket Courts/New Delhi/24.03.2022 ^{gk}