

**CNR No. DLST01-001499-2019**

**SC No. 141 of 2019**

**State Vs Chaitanya Bhardwaj & Ors.**

**FIR No. 220/2010**

**PS Neb Sarai**

**03.12.2022**

**Present:** Sh. Santosh Kumar, Ld. Additional PP for State.  
All accused on bail.  
Sh. Sushil Kumar, Ld. LAC for accused Mohd. Arif and  
Sushil @ Goldy.  
Sh. Tariq Hashmi, Ld. LAC for accused Mohd. Hayat.  
Sh. Saifi Ahmad, Ld. Counsel for accused Chaitanya  
Bhardwaj.  
Ms. Surabhi Chopra and Sh. Yuvraj Singh, Ld. Counsels for  
complainant.  
Complainant in person.

Ld. Counsel for complainant submits that she shall file the vakalatnama during the course of the day.

Heard. Records perused.

Today the case was listed for further arguments on point of charge, however, Ld. Additional PP for State has sought another opportunity to address arguments on point of charge as his police file is not available with him today. The request is allowed.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for complainant has moved an application seeking short dates in the present case. However, Ld. Counsel for complainant has also sought adjournment for addressing arguments point of charge in the present case.

Contd....

:2:

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for complainant submits that dates may be given as per the Court's Diary and she does not press hear application.

**Application of complainant seeking short dates stands disposed of as not pressed.**

At request of the State, let IO be summoned through the SHO concerned for next date of hearing.

In the facts and circumstances and at request on behalf of the State, matter be listed for consideration on point of charge on **30.01.2023**.

**(Vrinda Kumari)**  
**ASJ-02 (South)**  
**New Delhi/03.12.2022**