

Matter is taken up physically as well as through VC

SC No.107/2024

State Vs. Pawan Panwar & Anr.

FIR No.120/2023

PS : Neb Sarai

08.05.2025

Present:- Sh. Aditya Trehan, learned substitute Addl. PP for
State

Both the accused on bail are present

Sh. Jai Vats, learned counsel for accused
Pawan Panwar alongwith Sh. Sh. Vaibhav Kumar,
proxy counsel

Witnesses Vikas Jain and Shivanshu Porwal have
appeared through VC.

PW Vikas Jain who is also an Advocate and is
victim in the present case has stated that due to personal
difficulty he is unable to appear today.

PW Shivanshu Porwal has stated that Sh. Jai Vats,
counsel for accused Pawan Panwar has asked him not to appear
before the court as he is not coming to the court due to Saket Bar
Election. After considering the same, I am of the opinion that it is
highly unacceptable on the part of counsel Sh. Jai Vats to tell the
complainant to not to appear in the court. If learned counsel is
busy in Bar Election he could seek adjournment but he has no
right to tell the complainant to not to appear in the court. It also
prima facie shows that the complainant and accused are hand in
gloves with each other.

IO SI Vineet Kumar and MHC (M) are present.

// 2 //

Since material witnesses are not present, evidence cannot be continued. **Last opportunity given to complainant for his evidence.**

List the matter for PE on 17.07.2025.

(SHAIL JAIN)

Principal District & Sessions Judge (South)
Saket Courts, New Delhi : 08.05.2025