

Matter is taken up physically as well as through VC

SC No. 107/2024

FIR No. 120/2023

PS : Neb Sarai

State Vs. Pawan Panwar & Anr.

06.02.2025

Present:- Sh. Salim Khan, Ld. CPP for the State.
Sh. Krishna Raj, Ld. Counsel for the Complainant /
Shivanshu Porwal.
Accused Pawan Panwar is present on bail.
Accused Dhruv Panwar is absent. (On bail in this
case).
Sh. Deepak Singh and Sh. Deepanshu Bhargava, Ld.
Counsel for Accused Dhruv Panwar.
Sh. Jai Vats, Ld. Counsel for Accused Pawan
Panwar.

Exemption application has been moved on behalf of
accused Dhruv Panwar. It is stated in the application that the accused
has gone on a family trip to Kochi. Ld. Counsel for the accused
submits that the accused be exempted for today only and he submits
that he shall not dispute the identity of accused Dhruv Panwar during
the testimony of the witnesses present.

On the other hand, Ld. CPP for the State submits that he
has no objection if accused Dhruv Panwar is exempted from his
personal appearance for today only subject to the condition that his
identity shall not be disputed by Ld. Defence Counsel during the
testimony of the witnesses present.

In view of the submissions made, accused Dhruv Panwar
is exempted from personal appearance for today only subject to the

condition that Ld. Defence Counsel shall not dispute the identity of accused Dhruv Panwar during the testimony of the witnesses present.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the complainant submits that complainant / Shivanshu Porwal has gone to Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh for his exams of LLM. Ld. Counsel has filed an admit card along with date sheet of exams of Vikram University, Ujjain. Hence it is prayed that the witness Shivanshu Porwal be exempted for today only.

In view of the submissions made, PW7 Shivanshu Porwal is exempted for today only.

PW8 Sh. Bhuvnesh Kumar Sharma, MRT, AIIMS Trauma Centre and PW9 Dr. Mehul K., Sr. Resident, Department of Emergency Medicine, AIIMS Trauma Centre are present. They are examined. Opportunity given to cross examine the witnesses. They are discharged.

At this stage, accused Pawan Panwar and Ld. Counsel for Accused Dhruv Panwar have admitted a document under Section 330 BNSS (Section 294 Cr.P.C.) Separate statements are recorded. Ld. CPP submits that in view of the admission of the document, he wishes to drop witness at Sr. No. 12 in the charge sheet. Considering the submission, the witness at Sr. No. 12 stands dropped.

List the matter for remaining PE on 27.03.2025.

(MADHU JAIN)

Principal District & Sessions Judge (South)
Saket Courts, New Delhi : 06.02.2025