

SC No. 43/2025, State Vs. Anurag Pandey and Ors
FIR No. 443/2024, PS Sangam Vihar
21.11.2025

Present: Sh. Arun Kumar Singh, Ld. Additional PP for the State.

All three accused persons are present.

Sh. Yogesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for accused persons along with Adv Ms. Pratima Rani.

Sh. Abinash Kumar, Ld. Counsel for complainant.
Complainant in person.

All the accused persons have appeared today who are stated to be without arrest.

Fresh vakalatnama is filed on behalf of all the accused persons, taken on record.

Accused persons sought some time to file formal bail application in due course.

It is noted that in the order dated 11.09.2025, it has been mentioned that the protest petition on behalf of the complainant is disposed of and the court has also taken the cognizance of the offence vide a separate order. However, on perusal of the records, it is found that there is no such separate order either deciding the protest petition or taking cognizance in this case. Therefore, it seems that this fact has been inadvertently mentioned in the last date order. This mistake is rectified and now the case is fixed for hearing of the protest petition of the complainant as well as on the issue of taking cognizance.

In view of the aforesaid, let arguments on protest petition and issue of cognizance of the offence be heard afresh on the next date of hearing.

Put up on 12.01.2026.

(SAMAR VISHAL)
ASJ-02/SOUTH/SAKET COURTS
NEW DELHI/21.11.2025